



BBOB 2010: Comparison Tables of All Algorithms on All Noisy Functions

Anne Auger, Steffen Finck, Nikolaus Hansen, Raymond Ros

► To cite this version:

Anne Auger, Steffen Finck, Nikolaus Hansen, Raymond Ros. BBOB 2010: Comparison Tables of All Algorithms on All Noisy Functions. [Technical Report] RT-389, INRIA. 2010, pp.188. inria-00516690

HAL Id: inria-00516690

<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00516690>

Submitted on 10 Sep 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

BBOB 2010: Comparison Tables of All Algorithms on All Noisy Functions

Anne Auger — Steffen Finck — Nikolaus Hansen — Raymond Ros

N° 0389

July 2010

____ Domaine 1 ____

 *apport
technique*

BBOB 2010: Comparison Tables of All Algorithms on All Noisy Functions

Anne Auger , Steffen Finck , Nikolaus Hansen , Raymond Ros

Domaine : Mathématiques appliquées, calcul et simulation
Équipe-Projet TAO

Rapport technique n° 0389 — July 2010 — [188](#) pages

Abstract: This document presents the results from the BBOB Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking workshop of the GECCO Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference 2010 in tables. Each table presents the performance of each algorithm submitted to BBOB 2010 on one function and dimension from the noisy testbed.

Key-words: continuous optimization, benchmarking

Anne Auger, Nikolaus Hansen and Raymond Ros are affiliated to the TAO team-project, INRIA Saclay – Ile-de-France, Université Paris Sud, LRI, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France.

Steffen Finck is affiliated to the Research Center PPE, University of Applied Science Vorarlberg, Hochschulstrasse 1, 6850 Dornbirn, Austria.

BBOB 2010: Tables de comparaison de tous les algorithmes sur toutes les fonctions bruitées

Résumé : Ce document présente les résultats sous forme de table du workshop Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking (BBOB) de la conférence Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO), Portland, Oregon, États-Unis, 2010. Chaque table présente les performances des algorithmes soumis à BBOB 2010 pour un problème de la suite de fonctions tests bruitées.

Mots-clés : optimisation continue, banc d'essai

This document provides tabular results of the workshop for Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking at GECCO 2010¹. Many algorithms have been tested on 30 noisy benchmark functions in dimensions between 2 and 40. A description of the used objective functions can be found in [11, 7]. The experimental set-up is described in [10].

The performance measure provided in the following tables is the expected number of objective function evaluations to reach a given target function value (ERT, expected running time), divided by the respective value for the best algorithm in BBOB-2009 (see [6]) if an algorithm from BBOB-2009 reached the given target function value. The ERT value is given otherwise (ERT_{best} is noted as infinite). If the target was never reached, the median over all trials of the best function value is shown. See [10] for details on how ERT is obtained. Bold entries in the table correspond to values below 3 or the top-three best values.

List of Tables

1	f₁₀₁ in dimension 02	7
2	f₁₀₂ in dimension 02	8
3	f₁₀₃ in dimension 02	9
4	f₁₀₄ in dimension 02	10
5	f₁₀₅ in dimension 02	11
6	f₁₀₆ in dimension 02	12
7	f₁₀₇ in dimension 02	13
8	f₁₀₈ in dimension 02	14
9	f₁₀₉ in dimension 02	15
10	f₁₁₀ in dimension 02	16
11	f₁₁₁ in dimension 02	17
12	f₁₁₂ in dimension 02	18
13	f₁₁₃ in dimension 02	19
14	f₁₁₄ in dimension 02	20
15	f₁₁₅ in dimension 02	21
16	f₁₁₆ in dimension 02	22
17	f₁₁₇ in dimension 02	23
18	f₁₁₈ in dimension 02	24
19	f₁₁₉ in dimension 02	25
20	f₁₂₀ in dimension 02	26
21	f₁₂₁ in dimension 02	27
22	f₁₂₂ in dimension 02	28
23	f₁₂₃ in dimension 02	29
24	f₁₂₄ in dimension 02	30
25	f₁₂₅ in dimension 02	31
26	f₁₂₆ in dimension 02	32
27	f₁₂₇ in dimension 02	33
28	f₁₂₈ in dimension 02	34
29	f₁₂₉ in dimension 02	35
30	f₁₃₀ in dimension 02	36

¹see <http://coco.gforge.inria.fr/doku.php?id=bbob-2010>

31	f_{101} in dimension 03	37
32	f_{102} in dimension 03	38
33	f_{103} in dimension 03	39
34	f_{104} in dimension 03	40
35	f_{105} in dimension 03	41
36	f_{106} in dimension 03	42
37	f_{107} in dimension 03	43
38	f_{108} in dimension 03	44
39	f_{109} in dimension 03	45
40	f_{110} in dimension 03	46
41	f_{111} in dimension 03	47
42	f_{112} in dimension 03	48
43	f_{113} in dimension 03	49
44	f_{114} in dimension 03	50
45	f_{115} in dimension 03	51
46	f_{116} in dimension 03	52
47	f_{117} in dimension 03	53
48	f_{118} in dimension 03	54
49	f_{119} in dimension 03	55
50	f_{120} in dimension 03	56
51	f_{121} in dimension 03	57
52	f_{122} in dimension 03	58
53	f_{123} in dimension 03	59
54	f_{124} in dimension 03	60
55	f_{125} in dimension 03	61
56	f_{126} in dimension 03	62
57	f_{127} in dimension 03	63
58	f_{128} in dimension 03	64
59	f_{129} in dimension 03	65
60	f_{130} in dimension 03	66
61	f_{101} in dimension 05	67
62	f_{102} in dimension 05	68
63	f_{103} in dimension 05	69
64	f_{104} in dimension 05	70
65	f_{105} in dimension 05	71
66	f_{106} in dimension 05	72
67	f_{107} in dimension 05	73
68	f_{108} in dimension 05	74
69	f_{109} in dimension 05	75
70	f_{110} in dimension 05	76
71	f_{111} in dimension 05	77
72	f_{112} in dimension 05	78
73	f_{113} in dimension 05	79
74	f_{114} in dimension 05	80
75	f_{115} in dimension 05	81
76	f_{116} in dimension 05	82
77	f_{117} in dimension 05	83
78	f_{118} in dimension 05	84
79	f_{119} in dimension 05	85
80	f_{120} in dimension 05	86

81	f_{121} in dimension 05	87
82	f_{122} in dimension 05	88
83	f_{123} in dimension 05	89
84	f_{124} in dimension 05	90
85	f_{125} in dimension 05	91
86	f_{126} in dimension 05	92
87	f_{127} in dimension 05	93
88	f_{128} in dimension 05	94
89	f_{129} in dimension 05	95
90	f_{130} in dimension 05	96
91	f_{101} in dimension 10	97
92	f_{102} in dimension 10	98
93	f_{103} in dimension 10	99
94	f_{104} in dimension 10	100
95	f_{105} in dimension 10	101
96	f_{106} in dimension 10	102
97	f_{107} in dimension 10	103
98	f_{108} in dimension 10	104
99	f_{109} in dimension 10	105
100	f_{110} in dimension 10	106
101	f_{111} in dimension 10	107
102	f_{112} in dimension 10	108
103	f_{113} in dimension 10	109
104	f_{114} in dimension 10	110
105	f_{115} in dimension 10	111
106	f_{116} in dimension 10	112
107	f_{117} in dimension 10	113
108	f_{118} in dimension 10	114
109	f_{119} in dimension 10	115
110	f_{120} in dimension 10	116
111	f_{121} in dimension 10	117
112	f_{122} in dimension 10	118
113	f_{123} in dimension 10	119
114	f_{124} in dimension 10	120
115	f_{125} in dimension 10	121
116	f_{126} in dimension 10	122
117	f_{127} in dimension 10	123
118	f_{128} in dimension 10	124
119	f_{129} in dimension 10	125
120	f_{130} in dimension 10	126
121	f_{101} in dimension 20	127
122	f_{102} in dimension 20	128
123	f_{103} in dimension 20	129
124	f_{104} in dimension 20	130
125	f_{105} in dimension 20	131
126	f_{106} in dimension 20	132
127	f_{107} in dimension 20	133
128	f_{108} in dimension 20	134
129	f_{109} in dimension 20	135
130	f_{110} in dimension 20	136

131	f_{111} in dimension 20	137
132	f_{112} in dimension 20	138
133	f_{113} in dimension 20	139
134	f_{114} in dimension 20	140
135	f_{115} in dimension 20	141
136	f_{116} in dimension 20	142
137	f_{117} in dimension 20	143
138	f_{118} in dimension 20	144
139	f_{119} in dimension 20	145
140	f_{120} in dimension 20	146
141	f_{121} in dimension 20	147
142	f_{122} in dimension 20	148
143	f_{123} in dimension 20	149
144	f_{124} in dimension 20	150
145	f_{125} in dimension 20	151
146	f_{126} in dimension 20	152
147	f_{127} in dimension 20	153
148	f_{128} in dimension 20	154
149	f_{129} in dimension 20	155
150	f_{130} in dimension 20	156
151	f_{101} in dimension 40	157
152	f_{102} in dimension 40	158
153	f_{103} in dimension 40	159
154	f_{104} in dimension 40	160
155	f_{105} in dimension 40	161
156	f_{106} in dimension 40	162
157	f_{107} in dimension 40	163
158	f_{108} in dimension 40	164
159	f_{109} in dimension 40	165
160	f_{110} in dimension 40	166
161	f_{111} in dimension 40	167
162	f_{112} in dimension 40	168
163	f_{113} in dimension 40	169
164	f_{114} in dimension 40	170
165	f_{115} in dimension 40	171
166	f_{116} in dimension 40	172
167	f_{117} in dimension 40	173
168	f_{118} in dimension 40	174
169	f_{119} in dimension 40	175
170	f_{120} in dimension 40	176
171	f_{121} in dimension 40	177
172	f_{122} in dimension 40	178
173	f_{123} in dimension 40	179
174	f_{124} in dimension 40	180
175	f_{125} in dimension 40	181
176	f_{126} in dimension 40	182
177	f_{127} in dimension 40	183
178	f_{128} in dimension 40	184
179	f_{129} in dimension 40	185
180	f_{130} in dimension 40	186

Table 1: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{101} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 4.0	1e-01 5.0	1e-02 5.6	1e-03 7.2	1e-04 8.4	1e-05 10	1e-07 11	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.8	2.5	4.2	6.6	8.1	9.5	10	12	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.8	2.4	4.8	7.4	8.3	8.7	11	12	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.4	1.4	4.3	7.0	7.3	7.9	8.9	11	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.6	3.2	6.5	8.5	14	13	14	15	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.0	2.5	5.0	7.0	7.0	7.7	7.9	9.1	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.2	1.7	3.3	5.4	6.3	6.6	7.2	8.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.2	1.6	2.9	4.1	4.8	5.2	5.3	6.2	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.6	2.5	3.3	5.2	5.5	6.4	6.0	7.3	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	3.2	1.7	2.1	2.3	2.0	1.9	1.7	1.6	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	10	13	14	9.3	13	19	40	49	92	2502	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	5.2	2.5	3.8	7.7	8.1	8.9	10	12	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.6	3.2	5.8	7.5	8.5	9.2	11	12	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	2.3	3.1	10	22	30	35	38	46	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.4	1.8	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.0	1.9	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	2.3	3.2	32	62	67	86	111	192	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	24	41	173	159	282	907	764	687	631	641	SPSA [9]

Table 2: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{102} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 3.5	1e-01 5.4	1e-02 8.3	1e-03 10	1e-04 11	1e-05 12	1e-07 16	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.6	3.2	5.2	5.7	6.6	8.6	9.3	10	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.3	2.7	3.1	4.7	6.7	7.1	7.6	8.7	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.2	2.2	4.1	5.1	6.2	6.9	8.0	8.7	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.1	2.8	4.0	5.0	7.5	7.6	10	12	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.0	2.7	3.9	4.1	5.1	5.4	5.5	6.6	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.0	2.3	3.2	4.2	4.8	5.6	6.0	6.6	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.1	2.5	2.6	3.0	3.7	3.8	4.3	4.7	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.3	2.3	3.2	3.0	3.9	4.1	4.5	5.0	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.2	4.0	2.1	2.6	4.4	4.1	3.7	3.4	2.8	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	13	16	16	7.1	39	36	47	45	705	3827	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	4.1	3.5	5.9	5.8	7.0	7.9	8.2	9.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.8	3.4	5.6	5.2	5.9	7.4	8.0	8.4	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	2.3	4.3	11	17	25	26	32	34	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	7.1	11	9.3	7.0	6.6	5.8	5.2	4.4	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	2.0	3.3	24	47	56	75	103	146	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	9.1	16	27	239	265	255	254	873	870	4083	SPSA [9]

Table 3: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{103} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	103 Sphere moderate Cauchy										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 3.9	1e-01 4.7	1e-02 4.7	1e-03 4.7	1e-04 4.9	1e-05 4.9	1e-07 6.8	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.4	3.3	5.3	9.3	13	17	22	23	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.0	1.9	4.0	8.0	13	16	19	21	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.5	2.0	4.2	8.0	12	15	19	20	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.0	3.2	7.3	10	13	16	21	23	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.0	2.1	4.4	6.4	9.3	12	15	16	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.8	1.5	3.6	6.2	9.1	11	14	14	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.4	1.6	2.6	4.8	7.0	8.4	11	11	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.3	2.3	3.5	5.4	8.1	10	13	13	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	3.1	1.8	2.1	3.0	4.1	4.4	7.1	6.9	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	12	19	19	6.9	12	19	35	75	82	189	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	3.2	2.4	4.4	8.2	12	16	21	21	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.0	3.3	5.7	8.5	14	17	22	23	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	2.4	2.6	13	30	51	63	83	94	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	3.2	1.6	2.1	3.1	4.2	4.9	6.4	7.8	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.6	3.9	22	52	110	167	268	423	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	22	38	369	195	256	375	1471	4060	11338	12346	SPSA [9]

Table 4: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{104} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	4.3	4.2	7.5	28	9.3	16	19	20	20	29	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.5	4.0	5.8	22	4.9	8.6	10	10	10	11	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	3.2	1.9	4.8	14	4.4	8.5	11	12	12	13	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	11	4.8	9.2	37	11	22	29	57	58	74	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	4.2	3.6	6.6	13	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.4	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	4.6	3.2	5.6	18	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.9	3.0	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	2.6	1.3	2.9	7.5	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.3	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	2.8	1.7	3.6	12	2.9	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	5.2	2.8	4.3	7.8	1.2	3.5	5.3	6.4	6.8	8.7	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	45	18	20	19	26	72	199	197	305	392	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.8	2.2	3.5	5.8	1.2	1.8	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.7	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	5.3	3.2	5.1	15	2.7	3.2	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.6	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.8	2.3	6.6	11	3.1	4.3	5.1	5.8	6.5	7.5	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	7.3	2.9	6.4	13	3.4	6.5	10	13	12	13	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	3.2	2.0	7.7	19	34	89	245	514	1709	<i>10e-5/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	611	294	840	1711	4319	<i>39e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 5: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{105} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	105 Rosenbrock moderate Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	5.8	4.7	7.3	35	9.3	18	32	54	68	66	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	4.8	3.4	12	17	2.3	8.4	17	35	36	38	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	2.4	1.8	4.3	8.3	3.3	13	22	32	41	40	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	4.7	3.3	12	36	4.4	16	42	119	121	156	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	4.4	4.0	5.6	35	2.9	4.9	6.4	6.4	6.3	6.3	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2.7	2.4	4.1	23	1.8	3.6	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	5.4	2.8	5.6	17	2.6	4.5	5.4	5.9	6.8	6.7	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	3.3	5.8	5.2	24	3.1	6.2	7.3	7.8	7.8	7.7	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	6.4	3.7	7.0	13	2.3	8.6	29	55	196	388	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	50	21	18	17	41	238	959	2211	3364	6907	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	8.3	3.1	6.6	8.3	1.0	1.5	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.2	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	6.5	3.7	5.5	16	2.3	5.4	5.7	5.8	5.9	6.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.6	2.3	6.2	7.4	1.6	2.9	3.4	3.7	4.0	4.7	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	6.3	2.7	7.9	16	3.1	6.3	22	42	41	115	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	3.3	3.9	8.1	11	13	50	165	465	1153	<i>18e-5/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	519	302	320	1513	1483	8005	<i>34e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 6: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{106} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	8.0	7.2	28	53	13	9.3	8.4	7.5	6.5	5.1	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	5.1	4.8	6.8	12	4.8	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.3	2.7	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	3.8	3.2	5.4	3.9	4.8	3.1	3.2	3.0	2.6	2.1	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	4.8	3.3	3.9	84	30	21	18	20	18	16	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	5.4	3.2	6.1	11	2.7	1.7	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.1	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2.8	1.7	4.2	6.3	2.0	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.1	0.97	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	4.7	3.0	3.0	2.8	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.91	0.76	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	2.8	1.7	2.6	10	2.9	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.1	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	4.6	2.2	3.7	4.5	2.1	2.1	3.2	3.3	4.3	5.9	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	54	36	96	91	15	10	8.7	7.7	35	30	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	3.4	3.5	8.3	7.7	2.1	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.2	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	3.1	2.2	3.9	9.2	3.4	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.0	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.6	2.2	6.1	13	3.9	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.3	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	3.1	2.1	2.6	6.1	2.0	2.3	3.2	3.2	4.8	5.7	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	2.4	3.2	6.8	12	18	103	308	384	658	2206	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1018	895	2614	6207	3396	10059	<i>40e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 7: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{107} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 6.6	1e-01 14	1e-02 57	1e-03 79	1e-04 101	1e-05 128	1e-07 211	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	30	14	10	3.9	5.1	7.8	11	13	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.4	5.2	4.0	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.6	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.3	2.2	1.9	1.4	1.6	1.9	1.6	2.0	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.4	2.4	5.2	6.0	7.2	8.7	7.6	9.1	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	11	3.6	7.7	2.6	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.8	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	7.3	2.2	4.4	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.7	1.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.1	1.8	1.6	0.66	0.75	0.75	1.4	1.2	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	6.1	11	7.7	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.0	2.0	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	14	32	24	13	15	31	45	80	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	9.2	14	20	6.9	11	3.6	25	84	153	303	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	5.2	2.7	2.7	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.98	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	5.6	1.9	2.7	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.00	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	2.5	2.0	6.1	4.5	6.0	5.9	5.7	4.5	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	26	20	31	17	20	37	82	83	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.8	2.0	9.1	8.6	10	8.8	13	20	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	16	21	483	1439	3795	4061	3907	14051	<i>27e-3/1e5</i>	.	SPSA [9]

Table 8: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{108} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	108 Sphere Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 15	1e-01 101	1e-02 713	1e-03 1711	1e-04 2608	1e-05 3684	1e-07 7989	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	17	13	5.6	3.7	14	<i>26e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	30	17	8.8	6.5	41	<i>29e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	30	7.8	5.5	10	38	<i>60e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	17	12	10	8.9	<i>56e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	49	12	4.1	2.1	7.5	54	38	<i>61e-5/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	86	14	5.8	2.4	4.7	17	<i>50e-5/1e4</i>	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	14	14	6.1	5.7	5.7	17	<i>48e-5/1e4</i>	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	145	26	8.7	3.8	4.8	18	40	<i>51e-5/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	87	42	20	18	16	<i>14e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	1612	6028	4047	310	143	67	53	61	188	184	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.2	5.7	6.0	3.5	0.82	0.68	0.99	1.0	0.79	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.7	3.8	1.6	0.97	0.93	1.0	1.1	0.76	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	2.4	1.0	2.3	1.8	1.6	4.1	4.5	3.7	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	121	56	24	22	25	<i>13e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	2.3	1.3	12	20	21	28	46	<i>21e-5/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	27	126	204	101	101	88	<i>28e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 9: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{109} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 4.8	1e-01 6.3	1e-02 34	1e-03 34	1e-04 48	1e-05 48	1e-07 48	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	2.5	3.4	4.5	1.5	4.3	3.8	5.1	9.2	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.6	2.0	2.8	1.4	2.3	2.3	3.3	5.3	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.7	1.4	2.8	1.1	1.9	1.7	2.3	3.7	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	6.6	3.7	5.0	6.4	9.4	7.4	8.2	15	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.3	2.1	3.0	0.97	1.8	1.7	2.5	3.7	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.1	2.6	4.0	1.1	1.9	1.8	2.3	3.5	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.5	1.9	3.0	0.96	1.4	1.3	1.8	2.4	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.2	1.4	2.9	0.96	1.7	1.5	1.9	2.6	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	3.3	2.6	15	7.0	9.0	11	15	31	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	14	21	21	6.9	11	7.0	116	95	889	29122	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	3.2	2.0	3.4	1.2	2.0	2.4	3.1	4.8	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.0	1.4	3.8	1.2	2.1	2.2	3.4	5.4	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	2.3	2.3	8.6	5.9	8.8	11	14	24	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	3.3	3.6	12	5.6	10	13	22	36	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.8	2.5	15	10	19	24	36	67	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	19	32	282	131	273	349	1660	2432	9217	<i>72e-6/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 10: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{110} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	3.4	1.7	23	11	3.4	6.1	6.9	15	34	<i>22e-5/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	15	7.2	7.7	5.7	2.1	3.3	4.4	5.5	4.6	15	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	3.1	3.3	5.2	5.6	1.5	3.2	8.2	8.0	17	32	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	5.3	15	17	18	4.2	4.7	6.3	8.9	7.6	31	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	3.2	2.2	3.7	6.0	4.1	5.9	5.4	4.6	3.5	5.0	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	4.8	3.0	3.2	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.1	1.3	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	4.9	2.3	7.3	5.3	2.1	4.4	3.9	4.0	2.6	3.3	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	4.2	2.6	3.9	8.2	2.8	3.3	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.7	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	3.8	10	11	5.1	2.5	3.0	6.8	11	5.9	<i>12e-4/5e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	211	120	89	24	13	201	1238	<i>99e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	3.3	2.3	3.7	3.7	6.1	5.3	3.2	1.7	0.94	0.90	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	7.3	3.6	4.6	8.0	4.2	5.1	3.2	1.9	0.99	0.96	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.6	2.2	6.6	285	16	15	9.1	5.1	2.7	2.6	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	22	15	16	15	2.9	4.4	9.1	36	<i>19e-4/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	2.4	2.0	3.1	4.1	5.1	22	33	39	55	157	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	531	198	339	832	1381	<i>38e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 11: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{111} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	111 Rosenbrock Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.60	1e+02 3.7	1e+01 12	1e+00 59	1e-01 359	1e-02 2707	1e-03 6359	1e-04 14589	1e-05 30930	1e-07 84555	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	18	7.2	5.9	8.5	12	6.2	<i>21e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	3.4	8.2	9.0	3.6	11	26	<i>30e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	20	16	11	8.9	7.3	12	<i>17e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	36	7.8	8.9	10	6.8	12	<i>15e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	62	21	12	4.7	5.5	3.5	5.1	4.9	4.8	<i>61e-4/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	58	15	11	6.7	5.8	2.9	11	<i>47e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	20	5.4	3.6	4.5	6.3	6.9	11	<i>12e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	8.7	16	11	7.6	9.2	3.4	7.3	<i>17e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	72	48	45	29	53	33	<i>26e-2/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	570	605	196	64	22	15	33	101	48	<i>22e-4/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	4.6	2.7	7.5	3.3	3.9	3.2	3.9	2.4	1.3	0.51	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	3.3	4.3	5.0	3.3	4.8	2.0	4.3	2.6	1.3	0.55	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.7	1.4	2.0	2.2	44	23	12	6.1	3.3	1.3	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	137	33	21	25	19	11	<i>68e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	2.5	1.1	1.1	1.5	9.1	4.0	5.7	16	24	<i>32e-5/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	73	23	52	152	173	544	<i>51e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 12: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{112} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	6.1	4.1	9.2	25	6.2	4.8	5.2	5.7	6.6	6.2	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.9	2.5	34	81	3.8	3.4	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.6	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	4.6	3.7	7.8	46	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.0	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	12	6.8	8.2	104	8.9	15	24	36	33	56	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	6.4	3.7	5.0	11	0.83	0.93	1.00	1.1	1.0	1.0	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	7.1	3.9	4.5	4.3	0.62	0.72	0.82	0.86	0.83	0.86	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	4.1	2.4	6.3	15	0.88	0.70	0.72	0.72	0.69	0.69	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	3.2	2.3	4.5	12	1.1	0.95	0.97	0.99	0.94	0.91	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	4.7	2.5	5.1	11	1.1	4.6	22	118	<i>19e-4/5e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	36	28	27	44	5.0	79	309	<i>12e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	3.1	1.7	4.9	16	1.0	0.88	0.91	0.94	0.90	0.91	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	2.7	2.2	4.0	5.1	0.64	0.92	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.3	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.6	2.3	6.7	11	1.1	1.8	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.7	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	5.1	2.7	5.0	13	1.2	1.7	10	112	101	<i>70e-5/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	4.6	3.0	8.9	9.0	4.2	43	85	114	240	447	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	12128	3760	2996	3330	671	2693	2435	<i>21e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 13: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{113} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1.9	8.4	8.0	7.3	13	23	34	34	34	12	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.3	4.6	4.4	3.9	7.6	7.8	10	10	10	4.0	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	2.8	4.9	4.5	6.6	12	19	22	22	22	5.0	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	14	8.4	10	9.3	14	18	39	39	39	11	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2.0	2.0	2.9	15	14	13	16	16	16	4.2	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.8	16	8.8	6.5	12	12	16	16	16	3.5	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	3.4	3.8	3.6	7.0	15	12	10	10	10	2.1	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	2.9	5.6	13	8.4	16	17	14	14	14	4.0	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	2.1	13	10	6.1	10	19	33	33	33	13	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	35	29	17	41	350	978	1184	1184	1184	556	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.4	3.0	2.2	10	17	12	14	14	14	2.8	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.3	2.6	3.8	19	15	11	9.4	9.4	9.4	2.4	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.4	2.1	3.1	3.9	6.3	6.9	7.4	7.4	7.4	1.9	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	2.4	8.7	12	5.8	16	29	42	42	42	14	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.5	1.6	4.7	3.7	85	177	212	212	212	52	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	17	18	19	1040	901	3536	2899	2899	2899	761	SPSA [9]

Table 14: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{114} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1.5	28	7.0	15	6.3	9.2	25	25	25	33	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	17	43	14	12	4.6	6.2	6.9	6.9	6.9	<i>32e-4/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	8.8	41	26	19	5.7	6.6	17	17	17	<i>63e-4/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	17	33	12	13	8.3	7.4	7.8	7.8	7.8	16	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.9	43	17	12	3.8	4.1	4.8	4.8	4.8	17	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.5	19	7.6	15	5.7	6.4	5.1	5.1	5.1	8.0	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	4.5	71	31	20	4.5	3.4	7.0	7.0	7.0	10	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.4	44	14	19	7.1	13	7.6	7.6	7.6	4.7	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.5	66	29	16	26	21	33	33	33	20	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	618	605	211	197	60	33	21	21	21	45	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.8	14	8.6	10	2.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.3	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.9	4.2	2.6	5.6	1.6	0.73	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.83	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.4	2.8	1.3	3.8	8.9	4.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	5.0	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1.9	41	69	53	38	71	33	33	33	<i>21e-2/6e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.8	1.8	1.1	6.3	18	22	20	20	20	17	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	99	270	107	184	81	88	118	118	118	<i>63e-4/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 15: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{115} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	2.0	3.8	5.0	7.0	1.8	4.3	6.9	6.9	6.9	19	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1.2	2.4	6.2	5.1	1.6	2.3	5.5	5.5	5.5	16	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.9	2.1	5.3	4.9	1.5	2.9	6.0	6.0	6.0	13	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1.7	1.9	5.2	7.3	1.6	7.4	9.1	9.1	9.1	18	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.4	2.2	4.7	4.9	1.1	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.5	3.0	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2.3	2.5	3.1	4.9	1.3	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.9	2.2	3.4	5.9	0.91	1.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	2.5	4.3	6.1	3.9	0.89	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.6	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.5	2.8	6.5	7.3	2.9	6.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	12	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	14	12	14	18	99	325	551	551	551	1224	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.3	3.3	3.8	5.4	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	2.7	4.5	5.2	14	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.2	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.4	2.1	4.1	5.6	1.9	2.0	6.3	6.3	6.3	5.3	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	2.0	2.5	4.5	12	2.9	4.4	11	11	11	11	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.6	1.9	5.2	59	24	101	113	113	113	136	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	47	48	110	435	151	268	778	778	778	2416	SPSA [9]

Table 16: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{116} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	12	12	13	23	31	70	102	194	131	160	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.0	9.4	22	28	48	128	116	159	64	50	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.4	4.4	11	10	35	69	88	189	135	166	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	13	40	16	26	39	165	<i>12e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.9	2.2	15	20	35	44	42	36	16	9.4	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.9	2.5	10	25	34	49	48	46	18	12	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	2.8	8.0	15	20	21	34	35	37	14	9.4	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	2.5	2.3	6.4	24	30	49	51	50	19	14	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	7.9	6.2	12	15	32	98	125	479	<i>14e-3/5e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	67	132	512	1079	3593	6360	10202	<i>34e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	3.2	2.9	25	22	19	15	14	12	3.9	2.4	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.7	3.8	28	42	36	36	30	26	8.4	5.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.2	3.7	33	83	70	56	44	38	13	7.9	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	5.4	4.6	6.3	18	38	107	571	<i>50e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.9	4.5	4.6	102	476	859	1611	2102	670	817	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	20	19	61	307	1150	4537	10864	<i>43e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 17: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{117} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	9.2	8.6	8.9	6.0	5.6	<i>12e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	6.6	7.5	8.0	5.4	5.7	21	11	<i>11e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	8.8	7.7	10	3.7	6.9	<i>14e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	10	8.4	9.4	8.6	13	<i>31e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	11	9.3	10	3.8	2.0	10	<i>36e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	14	6.2	7.3	3.3	6.0	10	<i>12e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	15	13	10	4.6	3.3	6.0	10	<i>59e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	7.9	8.7	4.8	4.5	2.7	3.9	11	<i>33e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	15	32	24	10	8.4	<i>78e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	50	112	115	32	62	103	103	<i>17e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	5.5	5.5	5.0	3.6	1.8	0.99	0.67	0.67	0.61	0.63	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	3.2	4.8	10	4.5	2.0	1.5	0.95	0.96	0.95	0.93	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.1	1.2	1.6	3.7	4.2	4.3	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.9	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	43	25	29	12	26	<i>97e-2/6e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.7	1.6	5.9	22	15	13	11	<i>73e-3/5e4</i>	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	58	41	80	129	131	214	105	<i>35e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 18: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{118} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	7.5	12	55	19	18	5.4	5.1	5.5	5.1	4.6	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.6	5.5	45	18	17	4.7	4.3	4.0	3.6	3.2	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	4.4	11	20	8.4	7.7	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.4	2.1	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	3.2	14	131	52	64	24	25	23	20	16	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	3.6	6.6	12	4.7	4.1	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.0	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	3.7	6.6	9.1	3.5	3.9	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.0	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	3.6	7.3	5.9	2.0	2.2	0.68	0.65	0.65	0.60	0.56	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	2.4	3.9	4.8	2.8	3.2	0.93	0.93	0.91	0.82	0.72	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	2.4	2.3	2.8	3.8	12	9.0	21	221	<i>90e-5/5e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	42	142	61	44	533	615	1377	1231	3617	2888	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	3.0	8.1	7.9	3.4	3.7	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	3.8	6.5	12	4.8	5.7	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.2	1.9	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.5	10	8.6	4.8	6.0	2.0	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.9	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	2.1	2.6	5.6	4.2	11	10	35	71	187	<i>11e-4/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	4.0	5.4	76	100	462	253	280	1078	907	<i>16e-3/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	61	400	1210	755	4425	5579	<i>20e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 19: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{119} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	119 Sum of diff powers Gauss										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.70	1e+00 5.4	1e-01 36	1e-02 88	1e-03 520	1e-04 950	1e-05 2384	1e-07 5041	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	2.5	4.0	6.4	6.7	3.9	7.4	7.7	29	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	2.0	3.1	8.4	4.0	2.8	1.2	2.2	3.3	14	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	2.0	5.4	2.8	2.4	2.1	3.1	2.9	8.7	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	2.2	4.9	4.4	7.0	4.8	15	61	<i>90e-6/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	2.1	3.3	4.0	3.9	1.9	1.1	1.5	1.9	8.8	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	2.1	6.8	2.3	1.5	1.5	2.0	1.6	6.1	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	3.0	5.6	1.4	1.6	0.70	1.3	1.3	14	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	3.0	13	3.6	3.1	1.0	2.2	2.1	9.0	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.4	2.9	18	8.0	14	5.9	6.6	6.8	<i>67e-6/5e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	12	20	17	3.7	1.8	13	81	83	183	<i>34e-6/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.1	1.7	6.6	1.7	1.3	0.78	1.6	1.0	0.76	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.7	3.8	7.9	2.2	1.5	1.1	2.5	1.6	1.9	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.2	1.9	2.8	5.1	5.7	1.5	1.2	0.67	0.55	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	2.6	3.1	15	8.6	23	7.1	19	33	15	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.2	1.4	2.2	3.2	8.8	4.5	11	10	19	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	15	32	35	933	1158	2645	838	<i>21e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 20: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{120} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of diff powers Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.70	1e+00 5.7	1e-01 187	1e-02 1257	1e-03 2862	1e-04 12965	1e-05 37703	1e-07 94320	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	19	34	6.0	7.5	<i>76e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	19	19	4.7	14	<i>12e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	2.9	22	19	4.9	8.2	<i>52e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	12	33	9.3	13	52	<i>15e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	2.6	41	4.4	7.4	15	<i>56e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	36	26	5.0	4.3	49	<i>25e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	17	26	4.9	4.3	<i>54e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.6	9.5	3.9	7.9	<i>79e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	9.5	37	74	42	15	<i>94e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	423	709	620	222	37	66	247	<i>53e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.2	2.0	8.7	2.4	0.94	1.1	0.68	0.53	0.56	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	3.5	23	3.5	1.5	1.5	0.78	0.45	0.64	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.3	1.9	4.5	3.0	4.4	16	11	4.1	5.7	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	3.1	48	61	41	34	<i>98e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.3	2.0	1.6	6.8	13	19	16	19	<i>31e-5/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	57	173	152	151	107	254	<i>13e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 21: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{121} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

121 Sum of diff powers Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.70	1e+00 3.5	1e-01 21	1e-02 72	1e-03 164	1e-04 376	1e-05 560	1e-07 851	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	2.3	3.8	2.5	4.1	6.6	5.9	7.5	10	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	2.2	2.5	2.0	1.1	1.5	2.9	3.5	4.9	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	2.5	3.4	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.7	2.2	2.7	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	4.1	5.0	1.8	3.4	16	18	30	84	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	3.1	3.2	1.5	0.99	0.92	0.85	1.1	1.4	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	2.9	3.2	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	2.2	2.0	0.97	0.68	0.70	0.72	0.71	0.71	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	2.7	2.8	1.1	0.78	0.69	0.72	0.73	0.79	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.6	2.6	5.7	4.4	6.3	22	35	<i>31e-5/5e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	15	25	22	31	10	10	61	339	2516	<i>13e-5/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.3	2.7	3.1	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.4	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	2.0	4.1	3.7	1.6	1.0	1.6	2.0	2.2	2.6	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.2	2.1	4.1	5.7	3.8	3.9	2.9	2.9	3.3	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.6	2.7	4.4	3.0	7.6	14	57	121	<i>40e-5/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.3	1.7	2.0	8.0	6.2	14	15	37	104	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	33	60	126	806	2749	1758	1936	<i>13e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 22: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{122} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 1.5	1e+00 48	1e-01 261	1e-02 705	1e-03 1122	1e-04 1676	1e-05 2176	1e-07 4781	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	2.9	14	5.0	4.8	12	61	<i>53e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	2.3	3.3	1.6	4.9	10	19	32	<i>98e-5/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	12	3.7	3.1	4.1	38	<i>32e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	23	4.2	7.0	22	<i>16e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	5.7	5.9	3.3	3.1	4.7	14	32	<i>23e-5/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	4.7	3.8	2.5	2.8	3.3	6.0	7.6	31	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	31	2.2	2.1	2.4	5.9	14	20	<i>38e-5/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	36	4.4	5.7	7.3	20	27	67	<i>32e-4/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.3	14	10	33	55	<i>11e-2/5e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	12	16	16	21	37	91	195	<i>53e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.3	3.5	1.2	0.81	0.78	0.75	0.69	0.90	0.60	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.3	29	3.9	2.5	1.2	1.1	1.00	0.98	0.74	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.4	2.1	30	21	10	7.5	5.8	5.1	2.9	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.7	10	16	30	54	<i>99e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	2.2	10	22	14	21	25	45	<i>25e-6/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	21	38	60	803	<i>44e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 23: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{123} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

123 Schaffer F7 Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 1.6	1e+00 106	1e-01 3186	1e-02 12428	1e-03 19367	1e-04 28294	1e-05 50007	1e-07 1.09e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.8	26	7.1	47	<i>24e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1.2	2.8	18	4.8	7.2	<i>13e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.1	6.1	30	7.5	45	<i>23e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	48	10	22	<i>18e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.8	21	8.6	3.5	<i>83e-3/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	7.3	4.7	11	<i>13e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	2.0	29	6.3	4.4	12	<i>98e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	2.8	78	6.8	11	<i>11e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	10	66	24	28	<i>46e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	261	1354	557	126	20	25	<i>48e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	2.0	19	5.7	0.88	0.54	0.71	0.81	0.67	0.82	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.6	18	3.7	0.74	0.69	1.0	0.90	0.75	0.72	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.5	1.9	40	39	18	15	11	7.9	5.2	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	56	32	28	<i>44e-2/6e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.5	2.9	21	23	<i>11e-2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	32	64	137	76	221	<i>22e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 24: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{124} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 1.8	1e+00 32	1e-01 158	1e-02 340	1e-03 996	1e-04 1737	1e-05 2798	1e-07 4510	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	2.1	3.9	13	14	26	69	<i>60e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1.8	3.0	3.4	1.9	4.5	12	<i>98e-5/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	3.1	2.8	4.5	5.5	8.4	82	<i>89e-5/1e4</i>	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	15	12	13	44	<i>16e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	3.9	3.3	7.8	4.5	6.4	8.0	25	<i>23e-5/1e4</i>	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	3.2	4.5	1.4	2.7	3.2	10	50	<i>23e-5/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.8	26	5.1	1.8	1.6	1.7	11	51	<i>23e-5/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.1	1.5	1.8	10	4.6	5.0	8.0	85	<i>42e-5/1e4</i>	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.7	12	9.3	22	61	<i>98e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	14	20	36	11	38	142	226	814	<i>19e-4/1e5</i>	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.8	2.2	1.0	0.89	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.5	2.0	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.4	3.5	1.2	0.69	0.81	1.3	1.4	2.0	2.5	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.5	1.9	3.1	15	20	10	6.2	7.8	7.4	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	2.2	5.6	6.5	14	46	<i>33e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.1	1.2	3.0	23	25	33	56	130	<i>19e-5/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	48	90	4547	844	468	2050	<i>37e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 25: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{125} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.50	1e+00 0.50	1e-01 0.50	1e-02 74	1e-03 575	1e-04 1228	1e-05 1927	1e-07 3778	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.6	14	105	4.4	4.1	4.0	6.1	<i>63e-7/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	5.8	54	1.6	2.8	3.1	5.5	12	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	3.9	34	2.6	3.4	4.4	7.0	18	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	11	137	3.2	5.5	4.4	7.6	38	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	4.5	25	1.9	5.7	5.2	7.9	12	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	5.9	49	2.5	4.0	3.5	3.8	4.1	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	5.5	61	3.1	3.4	2.1	3.1	6.5	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	5.2	31	1.8	6.1	6.0	6.2	18	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2.3	6.9	46	1.8	2.7	2.4	2.3	6.2	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	14	20	25	34	87	5.0	5.4	6.8	14	40	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1.1	4.7	53	1.4	1.5	1.0	1.4	0.94	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	5.9	34	2.1	2.0	1.3	1.9	1.4	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	5.8	44	1.2	0.47	3.3	2.2	1.2	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.0	6.1	77	1.4	2.6	2.1	2.6	10	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.2	6.4	58	1.9	2.7	4.7	5.0	13	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	22	32	37	61	169	2.2	98	230	344	<i>45e-5/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 26: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{126} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

126 Griewank-Rosenbrock Uniform												
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.50	1e+00 0.50	1e-01 0.50	1e-02 151	1e-03 1709	1e-04 3408	1e-05 5528	1e-07 18193	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.3	53	300	7.2	6.8	20	26	<i>75e-5/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES	[4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	4.2	141	6.3	4.8	6.0	8.0	<i>23e-5/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES	[4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	3.5	236	4.0	8.9	7.9	27	<i>81e-5/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	[4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	39	246	9.1	8.5	12	25	<i>12e-4/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES	[2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	6.3	336	4.4	3.6	9.0	12	7.7	(1,4)-CMA-ES	[5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	7.4	111	3.3	3.9	3.8	5.6	<i>64e-6/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES	[5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	41	113	2.3	3.1	3.6	5.5	8.0	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	[1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	12	256	3.4	4.8	4.7	<i>18e-5/1e4</i>	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES	[3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	26	95	667	15	11	7.8	<i>18e-4/6e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA	[16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	284	472	608	704	1604	57	22	40	37	<i>32e-6/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	[8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	4.5	230	1.9	1.4	1.0	0.97	1.5	IPOP-aCMA-ES	[12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	4.2	73	1.9	1.1	2.0	2.2	1.6	IPOP-CMA-ES	[15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	3.9	49	0.58	12	18	20	19	CMA+DE-MOS	[13]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	200	595	15	52	<i>32e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA	[16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	4.6	47	0.72	0.98	1.3	1.4	2.0	Basic RCGA	[17]
SPSA	16	50	86	193	1851	88	111	95	80	<i>18e-4/1e5</i>	SPSA	[9]

Table 27: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{127} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.50	1e+00 0.50	1e-01 0.50	1e-02 93	1e-03 593	1e-04 2014	1e-05 3386	1e-07 3638	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	10	53	2.5	5.1	2.8	2.7	4.9	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	6.4	39	1.2	2.0	0.95	0.86	1.6	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	4.9	33	1.1	2.7	1.6	1.4	1.6	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	11	86	2.5	8.2	3.6	3.7	19	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	3.5	17	2.7	3.5	2.1	1.3	1.6	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	4.3	21	2.6	3.0	1.7	1.4	1.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	4.3	21	2.7	2.7	1.2	0.81	0.97	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	3.3	82	2.1	2.4	0.94	0.72	0.69	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1.3	7.2	72	1.6	3.5	1.8	2.5	<i>16e-6/5e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	12	17	19	28	70	1.6	2.2	4.6	12	66	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1.4	6.1	39	2.5	2.7	1.1	0.77	0.85	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	4.2	78	1.2	1.7	1.0	0.72	1.6	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	3.9	31	0.89	0.88	1.5	2.6	3.5	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	6.2	95	1.8	4.9	3.8	2.8	9.0	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.2	3.7	41	1.4	4.0	2.6	2.5	29	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	26	39	94	636	4930	125	181	165	<i>40e-5/1e5</i>	.	SPSA [9]

Table 28: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{128} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 21	1e-01 67	1e-02 157	1e-03 194	1e-04 205	1e-05 297	1e-07 310	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.1	10	9.4	6.2	6.9	7.2	5.6	6.8	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	0.93	5.6	6.1	5.3	6.2	5.9	4.6	5.7	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.7	2.7	3.7	3.9	4.8	5.7	4.5	4.7	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	7.3	8.8	5.5	3.9	7.1	10	10	11	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.7	21	14	6.4	6.9	6.6	4.6	4.5	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.1	12	12	7.1	6.1	5.8	4.0	4.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.8	14	10	4.2	3.6	3.7	2.6	2.5	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	6.7	10	5.9	5.2	7.2	5.6	5.4	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2.9	21	9.3	7.9	7.4	8.7	10	32	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	13	19	15	16	44	20	48	76	244	563	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	2.0	26	16	7.8	11	19	13	14	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	0.78	9.4	11	8.9	7.3	7.3	5.2	5.2	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.4	35	84	50	41	40	28	29	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	1.9	17	10	10	10	16	14	20	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	1.8	18	16	30	66	57	149	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	10	22	35	309	656	812	2220	2096	<i>83e-4/1e5</i>	.	SPSA [9]

Table 29: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{129} in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

129 Gallagher Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 33	1e-01 110	1e-02 261	1e-03 951	1e-04 1622	1e-05 2972	1e-07 5330	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	9.3	8.2	13	13	10	17	16	27	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.8	11	10	7.6	4.7	15	48	<i>12e-5/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.3	11	11	11	3.9	12	8.3	27	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	18	8.5	6.5	11	8.6	6.3	10	<i>60e-6/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.3	8.1	8.9	8.3	3.8	4.6	3.3	6.4	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.7	7.0	5.7	4.3	3.0	4.9	3.7	8.5	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.6	11	9.2	5.0	4.3	5.2	5.6	13	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	0.81	7.6	5.6	7.3	3.6	5.0	11	<i>31e-6/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	52	25	31	27	9.4	25	29	16	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	288	439	273	92	53	95	39	69	56	274	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1.6	13	13	6.5	2.2	2.4	3.2	5.4	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.7	7.4	12	9.3	5.6	8.2	4.7	3.2	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.4	1.4	13	49	28	20	11	6.9	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	24	23	21	26	19	25	31	<i>37e-4/6e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	0.85	0.64	13	15	13	11	24	135	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	5.1	48	96	100	66	108	55	81	150	<i>86e-6/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 30: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{130} in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.77	1e+00 23	1e-01 99	1e-02 248	1e-03 399	1e-04 824	1e-05 886	1e-07 2221	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.0	40	33	18	13	6.2	7.8	3.2	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.4	30	16	8.8	5.7	2.8	2.7	1.1	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.5	14	15	11	7.2	4.5	4.2	1.8	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.6	41	54	32	20	10	10	4.3	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.0	22	13	6.2	4.5	2.2	2.0	0.84	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.4	15	10	7.0	4.6	2.3	2.2	0.89	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.4	6.5	8.8	5.2	3.3	1.6	1.9	0.76	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.3	9.0	13	5.3	3.4	1.6	1.5	0.63	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	3.2	8.2	3.7	2.6	3.6	3.9	7.5	6.9	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	8.8	12	11	7.8	22	18	30	71	130	127	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1.5	6.6	6.5	39	26	13	12	33	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	8.3	18	8.7	5.8	3.1	3.0	1.2	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.7	1.1	0.97	10	24	20	18	15	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.8	10	5.4	3.7	3.8	3.6	4.7	6.6	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	2.0	1.1	8.5	21	37	45	63	76	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	22	34	84	662	510	298	243	285	486	311	SPSA [9]

Table 31: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{101} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.2	1e+00 4.4	1e-01 6.3	1e-02 6.7	1e-03 9.3	1e-04 11	1e-05 11	1e-07 13	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.6	4.9	7.3	12	10	10	12	13	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.6	2.8	6.0	7.7	7.6	8.3	9.3	11	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	4.7	3.1	5.7	7.0	6.4	7.3	8.5	10	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	6.9	5.3	7.4	10	10	11	12	14	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.6	3.0	4.2	5.9	5.5	6.2	7.4	8.2	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.6	2.9	3.6	5.2	5.1	5.7	6.4	7.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.3	2.6	3.1	4.1	3.9	4.2	4.9	5.5	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.6	3.2	3.6	5.0	4.5	4.8	5.5	6.5	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.1	3.4	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	14	21	16	12	112	384	484	694	1414	1256	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	4.0	3.8	5.0	7.6	7.2	7.9	9.2	11	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.8	3.0	5.1	7.5	7.2	8.1	9.3	11	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	2.5	10	21	28	28	30	36	42	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.5	2.8	2.0	2.5	2.9	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.2	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.1	2.4	10	36	73	88	132	182	315	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	30	46	198	295	846	1989	1524	1398	1375	3664	SPSA [9]

Table 32: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{102} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	102 Sphere moderate Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.2	1e+00 4.0	1e-01 7.6	1e-02 9.3	1e-03 11	1e-04 12	1e-05 13	1e-07 16	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.6	5.6	5.9	7.2	8.1	9.5	11	11	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.3	3.9	4.6	5.4	6.2	7.1	7.6	8.6	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.1	3.1	4.1	4.9	5.4	6.5	7.1	7.6	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.3	5.8	5.3	7.4	8.1	8.9	8.6	14	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.2	2.7	2.9	3.6	4.3	5.1	5.6	6.0	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.2	3.3	3.3	4.0	4.7	5.2	5.7	6.0	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.6	2.2	2.2	3.1	3.4	3.9	4.1	4.5	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.0	2.6	2.5	3.4	3.9	4.3	4.6	5.1	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.9	3.6	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.2	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	28	45	21	14	15	19	21	149	1947	4298	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	4.2	3.9	4.1	5.3	6.4	7.2	7.7	8.5	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.4	4.2	4.4	5.6	6.7	7.8	8.4	8.8	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	2.5	11	17	21	25	29	30	34	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.5	5.9	5.7	5.4	7.2	6.8	8.1	9.1	10	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.1	1.7	17	29	43	77	115	167	242	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	24	38	470	396	697	2079	2142	2293	5383	87971	SPSA [9]

Table 33: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{103} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	103 Sphere moderate Cauchy										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.2	1e+00 4.3	1e-01 6.4	1e-02 6.4	1e-03 6.4	1e-04 6.6	1e-05 7.7	1e-07 14	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	6.2	5.1	6.7	10	14	18	19	14	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.5	2.8	4.5	7.5	10	12	13	10	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.7	3.0	4.4	7.2	8.7	11	12	9.1	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.9	4.3	5.2	7.7	12	15	17	13	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.0	3.5	4.0	6.1	7.8	10	11	8.5	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.5	2.1	3.9	5.8	7.8	9.4	10	7.3	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	2.0	2.6	4.1	5.1	6.8	6.9	5.3	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.8	2.3	3.3	5.0	6.7	8.5	8.0	6.1	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.9	3.3	1.7	1.6	2.0	5.6	9.2	10	9.0	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	17	30	19	16	22	27	34	1298	2202	2619	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	2.4	2.9	4.6	7.8	10	13	13	10	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.0	3.5	4.8	7.9	11	14	14	11	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	2.5	11	20	31	42	53	62	51	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	3.4	2.1	2.6	3.4	4.8	5.9	5.8	10	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.1	2.3	13	39	69	140	225	281	291	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	40	142	360	216	228	400	565	1216	4576	11577	SPSA [9]

Table 34: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{104} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	4.2	3.1	6.6	10	14	36	50	88	88	86	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.5	1.4	3.9	4.5	5.7	8.2	8.4	8.9	9.0	9.1	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	3.5	1.6	2.4	2.5	6.5	11	11	11	11	11	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	15	9.1	17	15	23	62	116	115	145	143	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2.7	1.5	2.2	5.3	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.6	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	3.6	1.3	2.0	2.0	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	2.1	1.1	1.5	1.8	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.5	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	3.9	1.5	2.1	5.6	4.3	5.8	5.8	5.9	5.9	5.9	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	2.5	0.83	0.89	3.0	2.6	3.3	3.4	4.1	4.1	4.1	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	61	19	29	138	255	242	239	314	312	315	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.4	1.2	2.7	1.9	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.0	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	2.7	2.1	3.3	2.7	2.0	2.5	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.3	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	3.4	3.3	8.6	3.2	3.0	3.9	4.6	5.1	5.4	6.1	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	2.8	0.92	0.95	0.95	2.7	8.2	18	28	34	40	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	3.4	4.0	11	40	169	561	1528	1543	<i>66e-3/5e4</i>	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	611	299	28922	<i>15e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 35: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{best\ 2009}$ on f_{105} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	4.1	7.1	8.6	4.9	14	13	27	40	40	131	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.6	7.5	6.2	1.7	6.9	8.4	18	22	30	29	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	2.7	2.5	2.9	1.1	4.6	5.5	13	40	40	40	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	5.9	7.2	7.0	3.8	12	13	25	42	133	<i>91e-4/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	3.5	2.3	3.8	3.3	5.6	4.0	7.0	7.3	7.4	7.3	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	3.7	3.1	3.2	1.9	2.8	2.2	2.2	2.7	2.7	2.7	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	2.0	1.5	1.6	1.9	5.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	4.0	2.6	2.3	2.2	9.1	4.6	8.1	13	16	16	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	2.7	1.8	1.8	0.75	1.6	3.2	8.2	35	75	<i>20e-4/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	20	9.1	14	126	410	392	603	601	1289	1279	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	3.0	2.6	3.5	1.7	1.9	0.77	0.81	0.84	0.85	0.89	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	2.9	2.8	4.2	2.4	4.4	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.8	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	3.5	4.2	10	1.4	1.7	0.71	0.78	0.86	0.93	1.1	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	5.0	2.2	1.5	0.67	1.9	2.0	7.5	21	69	70	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.4	5.0	14	11	104	109	220	675	<i>26e-3/5e4</i>	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	514	176	1.51e5	<i>18e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 36: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}^{2009}$ on f_{106} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	5.2	3.6	4.0	11	12	5.8	4.1	4.1	2.7	2.6	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	3.1	2.0	3.8	13	10	4.3	2.8	2.8	1.9	1.8	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	3.0	2.3	4.1	8.3	6.5	2.9	2.0	2.0	1.3	1.3	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	12	9.2	10	40	29	13	8.8	8.8	5.7	5.3	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	5.3	2.6	3.1	9.5	6.6	2.8	1.9	1.8	1.2	1.1	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2.8	1.6	3.3	9.0	6.1	2.6	1.7	1.6	1.1	1.0	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	2.7	1.2	2.1	5.0	3.8	1.6	1.1	1.0	0.69	0.67	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	3.3	1.4	2.3	7.3	5.4	2.3	1.5	1.5	0.98	0.92	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	3.1	1.1	1.1	2.4	1.9	1.0	2.1	3.3	5.0	7.5	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	40	17	17	40	24	10	7.1	7.0	4.8	5.4	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.8	1.5	2.7	3.7	4.3	2.0	1.3	1.3	0.90	0.90	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	3.2	2.3	3.3	5.1	6.5	3.1	2.1	2.1	1.4	1.4	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	3.4	3.9	10	8.6	10	4.8	3.0	3.2	2.2	2.4	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	3.0	0.95	1.0	3.3	5.6	4.4	7.4	14	13	33	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	3.2	4.6	14	145	911	1110	2842	<i>43e-3/5e4</i>	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1188	595	815	9052	<i>19e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 37: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{107} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 2.0	1e+00 16	1e-01 51	1e-02 77	1e-03 108	1e-04 138	1e-05 168	1e-07 228	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	22	12	10	22	27	45	203	<i>28e-6/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	7.4	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.7	3.2	4.1	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	7.0	2.2	2.2	3.5	4.7	4.6	7.3	8.2	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.9	8.4	13	17	48	115	95	642	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	8.9	3.4	2.9	3.3	3.5	4.4	6.2	5.8	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.7	1.2	0.71	0.82	0.96	1.2	1.1	1.5	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	14	2.5	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.9	3.1	4.2	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	2.2	1.5	3.7	3.3	3.9	4.4	10	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	17	20	45	115	360	592	<i>16e-3/6e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	24	37	13	5.0	6.1	14	49	226	555	6150	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	2.0	5.4	1.4	0.99	0.97	0.96	1.0	1.0	1.0	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.7	1.2	0.80	0.96	1.0	0.95	0.98	1.0	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	1.6	3.3	5.3	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.0	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.1	12	29	61	65	150	532	<i>57e-4/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.6	7.8	9.2	11	13	20	26	31	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	36	53	199	8554	13692	18097	13131	<i>11e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 38: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{108} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.9	1e+00 33	1e-01 655	1e-02 1842	1e-03 3608	1e-04 5060	1e-05 5729	1e-07 10727	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	34	29	53	<i>15e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	23	24	25	13	37	<i>84e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	54	25	23	81	<i>10e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	30	41	19	79	<i>88e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	30	10	6.0	38	<i>42e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	30	19	5.9	14	<i>18e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	10	14	9.3	38	<i>38e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	35	20	8.6	77	<i>39e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	121	82	126	<i>39e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	1294	1758	2673	269	44	25	17	25	44	68	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	14	8.4	1.8	1.1	0.96	0.80	1.1	0.81	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	97	11	1.3	1.0	0.89	0.92	1.1	1.0	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	1.6	66	138	91	61	49	45	29	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	108	56	36	<i>41e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	2.1	10	24	20	22	33	129	<i>18e-4/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	111	264	275	166	58	390	<i>28e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 39: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{109} in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.2	1e+00 6.8	1e-01 31	1e-02 48	1e-03 64	1e-04 65	1e-05 84	1e-07 84	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.4	3.5	2.0	1.9	2.8	3.6	3.9	6.8	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.9	2.9	1.3	1.6	1.7	2.3	2.4	3.7	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.5	1.8	0.83	0.96	1.1	1.4	1.5	2.4	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	8.9	7.6	2.5	3.1	3.5	4.5	6.1	8.3	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.4	1.9	0.92	1.1	1.2	1.8	1.7	3.0	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.1	2.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.8	1.7	2.6	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.1	1.3	0.66	0.72	0.72	0.99	0.94	1.3	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.2	1.6	0.75	0.84	0.90	1.2	1.2	1.8	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	3.4	7.6	5.9	8.9	17	58	112	262	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	15	30	21	9.5	4.4	33	403	3363	<i>20e-5/1e5</i>	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	2.6	2.6	1.3	1.4	1.6	2.0	2.1	3.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.5	2.3	1.2	1.3	1.7	2.3	2.4	3.6	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	2.5	6.1	4.2	5.6	6.4	10	12	16	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.3	5.3	6.2	6.4	12	34	146	181	<i>17e-5/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.1	3.4	11	11	14	20	27	33	51	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	37	157	448	231	1467	1620	3769	6888	<i>43e-4/1e5</i>	.	SPSA [9]

Table 40: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{110} in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	16	11	10	2.9	1.7	2.5	13	<i>12e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	10	4.3	5.5	1.3	1.4	1.0	1.7	3.4	<i>19e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	4.4	4.2	3.6	1.5	1.0	1.4	2.7	3.3	<i>43e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	4.9	6.9	10	4.5	3.2	3.8	<i>17e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	6.1	2.1	1.9	2.7	1.8	2.5	2.8	2.2	3.4	6.7	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	3.5	6.5	6.2	2.4	1.3	1.5	3.7	2.2	7.0	<i>43e-4/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	28	8.1	4.1	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.7	3.5	3.5	3.4	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	2.8	1.2	2.5	2.0	1.2	0.82	2.5	7.0	<i>48e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	18	18	15	6.6	8.4	<i>34e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	119	85	174	60	103	206	<i>20e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.4	1.5	1.7	1.3	2.6	1.2	0.69	0.40	0.40	0.41	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	3.6	1.7	2.1	1.7	4.9	2.6	1.6	0.99	0.99	0.99	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	3.7	4.5	6.1	4.9	19	7.6	4.4	2.6	2.6	2.6	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	23	20	24	9.0	4.3	11	<i>22e-2/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	4.2	5.3	6.8	5.8	20	23	<i>94e-3/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	251	123	1625	<i>35e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 41: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{111} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	17	19	24	128	<i>19e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	7.2	8.8	16	12	<i>98e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	24	11	21	16	<i>14e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	20	29	39	31	<i>29e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	6.4	6.6	5.0	3.9	4.2	<i>27e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	8.3	10	5.8	2.7	3.1	<i>32e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	16	8.2	9.3	3.0	<i>35e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	19	7.6	12	11	<i>85e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	52	66	55	<i>45e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	246	64	94	15	30	27	<i>13e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	14	13	5.0	0.86	4.2	10	4.7	1.8	0.88	0.90	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	2.3	2.1	2.3	0.95	16	16	6.4	2.1	0.89	0.89	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.0	2.0	17	12	17	12	30	<i>98e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	32	42	85	32	7.8	<i>74e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.3	2.3	12	3.2	4.5	14	5.4	<i>91e-3/5e4</i>	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	113	87	191	<i>16e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 42: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{112} in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	7.7	7.6	17	28	10	8.7	8.0	7.8	8.5	7.8	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	3.0	2.6	3.3	5.8	2.5	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.9	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	5.1	2.2	3.5	5.1	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	4.5	4.4	8.7	27	12	16	17	21	31	28	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2.9	1.4	2.2	1.3	0.95	0.88	0.88	0.93	0.93	0.89	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	3.0	1.3	2.3	3.1	1.1	0.90	0.87	0.87	0.86	0.83	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	2.9	1.2	1.9	2.1	0.76	0.58	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.52	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	3.1	1.6	2.7	4.6	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	2.8	0.93	2.1	2.2	1.8	10	85	<i>20e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	57	24	23	306	571	1699	<i>26e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	3.0	1.8	3.8	1.6	0.79	0.70	0.72	0.74	0.76	0.76	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	4.2	2.3	3.7	4.6	1.8	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	3.4	4.0	10	2.4	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.9	2.0	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	2.0	0.89	1.3	1.7	1.4	8.7	37	73	<i>44e-4/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	2.7	3.6	11	18	72	93	365	713	<i>23e-3/5e4</i>	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1314	1782	2830	12462	<i>29e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 43: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{113} in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 1.1	1e+01 8.8	1e+00 42	1e-01 649	1e-02 1031	1e-03 1079	1e-04 1079	1e-05 1079	1e-07 1143	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	2.5	11	6.6	11	2.6	6.0	11	11	11	15	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1.4	1.3	2.6	4.1	0.84	2.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	6.4	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.2	2.4	8.6	7.4	1.3	1.8	7.3	7.3	7.3	13	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	2.7	24	12	8.7	3.6	18	41	41	41	125	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.6	3.0	4.2	4.9	2.0	2.3	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.8	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2.1	2.5	1.1	5.7	1.9	2.6	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.3	4.4	6.3	4.9	1.6	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.2	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.3	2.8	2.4	4.6	1.7	2.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	5.8	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.6	5.6	8.2	15	8.0	25	75	75	75	<i>57e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	28	14	22	19	82	292	392	392	392	1280	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	20	8.4	2.0	7.7	0.88	0.60	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.75	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.7	2.7	1.8	6.6	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.1	1.7	1.3	4.1	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1.5	14	7.7	14	5.1	12	70	70	70	<i>74e-3/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.1	1.6	2.1	11	19	39	38	38	38	38	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	40	24	18	1660	1007	1374	<i>71e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 44: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{114} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	114 Step-ellipsoid Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.98	1e+01 8.5	1e+00 338	1e-01 2093	1e-02 6295	1e-03 9441	1e-04 9441	1e-05 9441	1e-07 10860	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1.1	5.1	34	11	21	<i>31e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1.1	8.2	17	6.9	21	<i>30e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.1	63	32	22	23	<i>22e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1.1	35	30	12	<i>52e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.3	67	21	3.6	7.6	23	<i>11e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.8	2.2	6.7	2.5	5.7	10	15	15	15	<i>83e-3/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.8	27	25	4.0	12	<i>16e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	17	14	19	6.4	10	<i>22e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	143	104	26	<i>11e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	877	2294	553	127	148	<i>14e-2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.4	34	25	1.4	0.88	0.61	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.39	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.1	12	7.1	2.4	1.6	0.81	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.59	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.1	1.9	1.9	9.3	31	20	13	13	13	14	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	50	71	31	<i>11e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.5	1.8	1.6	8.0	12	14	12	12	12	20	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	271	204	223	63	685	<i>29e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 45: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{115} in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.93	1e+01 4.0	1e+00 39	1e-01 198	1e-02 280	1e-03 297	1e-04 297	1e-05 297	1e-07 415	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1.7	3.2	4.9	2.7	7.9	41	63	63	63	<i>54e-4/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1.5	2.1	1.7	2.1	2.8	5.8	12	12	12	21	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.1	1.5	2.9	1.4	1.6	5.0	13	13	13	39	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1.5	3.8	4.3	3.4	3.4	23	50	50	50	169	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.5	3.6	2.9	1.4	1.6	2.8	4.3	4.3	4.3	6.5	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.4	2.9	2.7	0.88	1.3	1.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	4.1	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.1	1.4	2.1	1.2	0.87	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.6	2.1	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.1	1.9	4.5	1.9	1.6	2.5	3.1	3.1	3.1	5.3	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.5	3.1	1.1	2.6	4.9	19	45	45	45	59	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	24	17	20	122	392	1637	4763	4763	4763	3402	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.2	2.7	2.0	0.88	0.91	0.80	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.78	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.7	3.2	6.4	2.4	1.8	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	1.9	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.1	2.0	2.3	2.1	5.0	7.4	7.6	7.6	7.6	5.6	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	2.4	2.8	0.96	4.0	8.3	66	215	215	215	154	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.1	0.95	6.1	56	96	145	138	138	138	129	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	52	44	49	576	560	1532	<i>43e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 46: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{116} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	14	14	17	9.4	96	<i>68e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	6.3	11	14	4.0	8.4	10	14	10	46	<i>54e-3/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	11	12	27	5.6	6.8	34	<i>45e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	14	36	40	13	46	34	63	<i>61e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2.4	2.3	12	3.8	11	15	18	15	15	19	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	4.6	5.9	10	3.1	4.3	4.9	5.4	7.6	14	12	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	11	8.9	11	1.8	4.0	4.5	5.5	7.9	10	<i>96e-5/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	17	7.0	8.2	2.2	3.9	7.1	13	14	<i>18e-3/1e4</i>	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	19	24	65	28	<i>30e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	1443	1318	1980	1701	<i>97e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	6.8	6.2	5.9	1.3	1.2	1.0	0.90	0.71	0.71	0.63	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	4.5	7.4	11	2.8	2.5	2.1	1.9	1.5	1.5	1.3	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.2	2.8	7.3	4.4	3.0	2.4	2.2	1.9	2.0	1.9	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	12	18	45	41	50	<i>49e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	2.6	18	61	26	52	65	<i>67e-3/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	98	863	4071	1622	<i>20e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 47: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{117} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	43	13	16	30	<i>84e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	13	13	6.7	30	<i>56e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	12	7.8	13	10	<i>93e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	38	16	13	<i>95e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	13	6.8	5.2	14	<i>34e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	10	4.6	5.6	6.7	3.5	7.9	<i>18e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	32	6.9	2.7	<i>23e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	21	6.0	5.7	9.3	11	<i>22e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	66	48	33	<i>44e+0/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	559	157	361	293	107	<i>20e+0/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	10	2.7	1.8	0.95	0.56	0.44	0.55	0.56	0.57	0.66	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	20	5.3	2.3	1.0	0.66	0.66	0.64	0.60	0.62	0.68	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.2	3.3	6.6	8.1	4.6	6.0	7.0	6.2	6.0	5.6	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	46	27	96	<i>23e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	2.7	5.5	7.4	10	16	38	35	<i>57e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	314	120	133	<i>81e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 48: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{118} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	11	18	9.4	15	7.2	6.5	6.1	5.8	5.6	6.5	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	4.1	8.8	4.2	7.5	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.0	3.0	2.7	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	4.0	7.4	5.5	5.5	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.6	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	6.9	13	30	46	23	24	27	23	21	20	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	4.1	6.5	2.6	3.2	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2.5	6.8	3.2	3.5	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.99	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	2.4	3.4	2.0	2.3	0.92	0.83	0.78	0.71	0.71	0.66	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.6	6.0	3.3	3.0	1.1	1.0	0.93	0.85	0.82	0.75	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	0.98	1.4	1.0	5.0	5.4	26	77	<i>43e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	38	81	715	2979	2747	4936	<i>21e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	3.3	4.9	3.4	3.0	1.0	0.98	0.95	0.89	0.94	0.94	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	4.3	7.9	6.2	6.7	2.6	2.4	2.2	2.0	2.0	1.9	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	3.3	10	6.0	5.2	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.8	3.1	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1.3	1.4	1.7	3.7	6.5	43	109	<i>19e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	2.3	78	142	542	672	1269	2227	<i>75e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	126	254	1406	6641	<i>41e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 49: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{119} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of diff powers Gauss											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 0.73	1e+00 22	1e-01 140	1e-02 203	1e-03 668	1e-04 1894	1e-05 4013	1e-07 5240	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	6.6	4.3	5.3	17	48	<i>24e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.8	3.2	1.7	4.2	3.2	8.2	17	<i>14e-5/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	2.2	1.5	1.4	2.9	4.5	35	<i>18e-5/1e4</i>	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	28	6.9	3.7	39	110	<i>50e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	2.1	9.2	4.3	1.5	3.0	2.4	4.1	18	<i>63e-6/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	4.7	3.2	0.92	1.5	2.3	3.0	3.9	28	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.8	12	1.7	0.96	1.8	2.6	2.9	6.1	<i>48e-6/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	3.7	43	3.7	2.0	3.2	4.9	9.3	35	<i>18e-5/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	23	8.2	16	51	<i>13e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	24	36	25	24	25	136	193	367	<i>11e-4/1e5</i>	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	2.1	5.5	1.1	0.55	0.92	0.77	0.87	0.72	0.79	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.9	8.4	0.93	0.38	0.69	0.91	1.2	1.0	1.7	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	1.2	1.2	2.6	4.6	2.1	1.0	0.68	1.0	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	2.2	13	18	27	116	114	<i>31e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.3	2.4	6.6	6.2	7.6	9.3	8.9	24	<i>17e-6/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	35	55	187	5891	10571	7294	<i>10e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 50: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{120} in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	120 Sum of diff powers Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 0.73	1e+00 27	1e-01 601	1e-02 2312	1e-03 10645	1e-04 25282	1e-05 40067	1e-07 88603	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	41	43	31	31	<i>11e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	4.0	58	7.8	15	<i>54e-3/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	65	31	29	63	<i>14e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	22	37	28	29	<i>13e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	3.1	9.0	11	13	62	<i>71e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	27	12	7.1	<i>44e-3/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	5.3	17	10	61	<i>31e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	54	18	14	<i>51e-3/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	24	120	66	40	<i>36e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	779	1826	2111	847	151	74	43	58	<i>11e-3/1e5</i>	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	2.2	14	8.3	1.9	1.6	0.66	0.49	0.57	0.50	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.3	8.6	11	1.9	0.97	0.60	0.54	0.53	0.77	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	1.2	3.5	78	38	15	10	10	4.4	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.7	120	62	62	<i>42e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.5	3.0	5.5	19	26	32	<i>85e-4/5e4</i>	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	99	281	549	247	338	<i>12e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 51: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{121} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	121 Sum of diff powers Cauchy										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 0.73	1e+00 14	1e-01 39	1e-02 83	1e-03 233	1e-04 500	1e-05 766	1e-07 1107	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	2.7	1.6	1.6	2.4	3.1	2.9	3.9	5.3	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.0	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.7	2.0	2.2	3.0	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	1.1	1.1	0.88	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.8	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	7.4	7.3	7.1	12	23	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	1.5	0.78	0.78	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.95	1.1	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	3.9	1.2	0.87	1.0	1.0	0.96	0.82	1.0	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	2.3	0.99	0.64	0.66	0.66	0.54	0.52	0.56	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	4.6	1.2	0.82	0.89	0.93	0.80	0.77	0.80	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.3	2.9	3.5	5.3	27	319	<i>47e-4/5e3</i>	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	18	34	29	7.9	4.6	266	886	2818	<i>11e-4/1e5</i>	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	2.8	1.1	0.92	1.0	0.90	0.80	0.87	0.90	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	4.3	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.6	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	1.2	1.4	4.5	4.7	3.2	2.4	2.3	2.6	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.2	3.2	3.6	7.7	43	<i>62e-4/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.4	1.5	2.6	13	11	37	43	161	<i>19e-6/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	36	101	278	2723	3628	2262	6233	<i>24e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 52: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{122} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.9	1e+00 119	1e-01 601	1e-02 1439	1e-03 2532	1e-04 3458	1e-05 4081	1e-07 6336	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	5.3	7.0	6.8	53	<i>15e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1.8	5.1	1.6	5.0	24	<i>21e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	6.4	3.1	10	47	<i>35e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	10	5.2	56	<i>16e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	6.1	3.5	6.1	47	<i>20e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.5	1.9	3.6	9.3	<i>85e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	3.0	0.74	5.3	11	58	<i>18e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	2.3	11	5.1	11	103	<i>61e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.1	13	22	<i>37e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	29	35	11	4.1	49	147	165	406	<i>52e-3/1e5</i>	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.3	2.9	1.4	0.80	0.70	0.67	0.61	0.81	0.90	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	1.9	1.1	1.1	0.69	0.76	0.84	0.96	1.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.3	1.5	2.7	9.2	15	15	17	22	17	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.1	8.5	21	122	<i>65e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.1	1.1	1.4	7.9	13	8.6	13	16	87	<i>67e-6/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	69	158	103	2636	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 53: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{123} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	123 Schaffer F7 Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.6	1e+00 515	1e-01 7277	1e-02 16727	1e-03 31053	1e-04 43067	1e-05 63620	1e-07 1.47e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	5.9	29	11	<i>73e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	2.3	34	7.4	<i>62e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	20	48	5.0	<i>65e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	49	15	<i>90e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	27	7.2	19	<i>34e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	4.6	3.1	<i>32e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	30	5.2	<i>45e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	37	82	10	<i>59e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	12	118	33	<i>16e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	374	549	697	46	17	89	<i>75e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.1	25	2.9	0.56	0.71	0.75	0.75	0.84	0.92	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.4	8.5	1.7	0.90	0.98	0.89	0.82	0.85	1.2	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.3	1.8	171	51	22	16	16	11	4.8	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	12	132	20	<i>12e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.2	2.2	13	47	<i>36e-2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	64	46353	22910	1289	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 54: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{124} in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.2	1e+00 65	1e-01 309	1e-02 1139	1e-03 2364	1e-04 3098	1e-05 4301	1e-07 4961	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	43	7.4	34	<i>82e-3/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	2.3	0.46	2.7	4.1	60	<i>70e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	3.9	2.2	3.7	7.6	<i>73e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	6.4	10	78	<i>13e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	34	17	8.5	11	60	<i>79e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	2.8	0.89	2.1	2.9	6.5	46	<i>10e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	3.7	2.4	1.2	1.5	5.7	<i>91e-5/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	2.1	22	2.6	4.2	5.5	63	<i>45e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	11	7.7	59	<i>18e-2/5e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	15	24	16	6.2	30	103	<i>11e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	2.0	4.2	0.54	0.82	0.50	0.55	0.87	1.2	1.4	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	3.2	0.59	2.0	1.1	0.79	0.96	1.5	2.4	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.3	2.4	2.5	27	10	5.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.5	5.6	13	36	<i>14e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.2	1.8	4.5	22	11	18	50	170	<i>80e-5/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	27	51	6155	2182	4845	<i>11e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 55: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{125} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss											
$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 0.33	1e+00 0.33	1e-01 0.33	1e-02 1473	1e-03 8226	1e-04 11656	1e-05 12117	1e-07 12667	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	19	572	6.4	<i>69e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	8.7	207	3.9	18	13	<i>38e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	10	289	2.8	18	13	<i>53e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.3	11	791	5.8	<i>68e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	8.1	677	4.8	8.6	<i>55e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	10	508	3.1	8.6	13	12	<i>31e-4/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	8.9	298	2.5	4.0	13	<i>31e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	14	556	5.3	18	<i>69e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1.4	9.3	326	1.6	3.0	6.9	6.7	<i>40e-4/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	30	38	49	79	569	1.8	21	38	57	115	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1.3	9.5	289	0.69	0.67	0.70	0.76	0.75	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	11	243	1.4	1.1	0.98	0.97	0.99	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	13	222	1.8	4.0	6.3	6.1	5.9	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.8	10	332	0.88	2.8	2.0	1.9	<i>19e-4/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.3	9.3	291	1.0	5.2	8.1	59	<i>54e-5/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	25	38	41	66	21710	14	<i>36e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 56: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{126} in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

126 Griewank-Rosenbrock Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 0.33	1e+00 0.33	1e-01 0.33	1e-02 4499	1e-03 37712	1e-04 1.11e5	1e-05 2.08e5	1e-07 3.20e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	135	3640	15	<i>26e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	87	3050	16	<i>22e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	148	1434	7.1	<i>20e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	209	5363	<i>38e-3/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	15	1230	10	<i>18e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	11	1618	3.4	<i>10e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	6.5	1116	7.3	<i>12e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	32	97	1584	5.4	<i>12e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1.7	258	6248	<i>49e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	630	728	1797	2592	7997	8.5	4.1	6.1	<i>22e-4/1e5</i>	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	74	933	0.68	0.89	0.66	0.49	0.49	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	15	645	0.85	0.88	0.81	0.95	0.91	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	16	237	0.11	15	<i>27e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	13	266	8605	17	<i>48e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	7.1	350	0.31	0.65	1.1	3.5	<i>15e-5/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	18	46208	75111	2.01e5	3.07e5	159	<i>54e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 57: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{127} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 0.33	1e+00 0.33	1e-01 0.33	1e-02 1168	1e-03 13028	1e-04 15016	1e-05 15116	1e-07 15332	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.3	11	367	6.1	<i>76e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	6.9	184	2.1	1.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	10	644	3.5	5.5	<i>54e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	12	644	7.3	11	<i>73e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	4.8	423	6.0	5.4	10	9.5	9.4	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	9.3	417	3.7	5.4	10	10	10	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	4.7	217	1.5	2.2	2.0	2.0	1.9	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	13	204	4.5	2.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	11	295	4.5	<i>61e-4/5e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	14	24	30	55	224	10	24	94	<i>17e-4/1e5</i>	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	6.4	179	3.7	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	14	189	4.3	0.83	1.4	1.5	1.5	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	12	190	0.19	1.9	2.7	2.7	2.8	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	18	278	1.6	2.3	4.3	4.2	<i>61e-4/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	12	293	1.1	2.3	8.3	15	46	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	31	47	82	1530	45810	582	<i>22e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 58: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{128} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.8	1e+00 142	1e-01 375	1e-02 449	1e-03 639	1e-04 903	1e-05 905	1e-07 1447	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.7	3.7	2.3	4.7	4.7	6.8	7.1	7.7	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	1.6	2.3	2.5	1.8	1.4	1.7	1.1	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.3	2.9	4.0	3.7	3.1	2.3	2.6	1.9	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.5	3.1	3.4	5.7	5.0	3.9	3.9	4.3	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.5	1.8	1.3	1.3	0.91	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.0	3.3	4.1	4.0	2.8	2.4	2.4	1.9	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.6	3.1	3.1	3.6	2.5	1.9	1.9	1.2	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.7	3.1	4.8	4.8	3.7	2.7	2.8	2.4	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	4.3	10	19	25	18	17	45	<i>45e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	30	40	12	14	48	84	146	264	471	<i>90e-5/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1.2	7.3	16	36	26	76	76	48	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.2	7.3	12	11	15	11	11	7.3	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	2.3	33	52	46	32	24	24	16	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	1.7	7.0	9.1	14	35	84	<i>89e-4/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.3	18	33	58	49	35	43	41	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	20	31	150	1406	1931	3251	2283	<i>13e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 59: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{129} in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	129 Gallagher Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.6	1e+00 121	1e-01 1131	1e-02 2617	1e-03 3861	1e-04 8261	1e-05 9454	1e-07 12845	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	53	15	5.2	54	<i>23e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	21	13	4.8	17	36	<i>61e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	31	18	7.9	18	38	<i>27e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	28	25	11	28	<i>90e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	14	14	4.0	5.7	11	18	15	<i>11e-3/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.1	7.3	3.2	5.3	12	8.5	<i>47e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	10	11	4.5	6.2	37	<i>30e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	22	11	5.5	7.6	38	18	<i>14e-3/1e4</i>	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	51	34	12	15	21	10	<i>23e-2/6e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	181	2286	6231	223	74	45	88	56	157	116	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1.4	5.9	5.0	2.8	12	10	9.1	6.9	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	7.5	12	4.7	3.3	6.1	13	11	22	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	2.4	239	81	72	77	51	45	48	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	56	63	21	30	21	<i>79e-2/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.6	11	12	11	24	14	13	27	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	54	279	1008	171	308	271	<i>14e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 60: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{130} in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.8	1e+00 82	1e-01 172	1e-02 305	1e-03 501	1e-04 2264	1e-05 3981	1e-07 4782	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.9	38	43	24	15	3.4	2.3	2.0	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.7	17	14	7.9	5.5	1.2	0.71	0.60	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	8.1	10	10	5.9	1.7	0.97	0.82	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.0	32	53	31	19	5.0	2.8	2.4	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	21	10	13	10	6.0	1.3	0.76	0.64	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.1	11	15	8.6	5.2	1.2	0.67	0.56	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	14	8.5	7.6	5.2	3.2	0.70	0.40	0.34	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.4	7.7	15	8.4	5.2	1.1	0.65	0.55	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2.6	3.9	12	8.5	11	5.6	5.7	<i>90e-5/5e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	22	37	12	54	155	216	204	131	104	<i>99e-5/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	2.3	19	46	51	57	35	20	17	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.1	53	57	33	153	34	19	16	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.8	90	332	398	389	86	87	73	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.0	3.0	9.4	18	21	6.6	17	<i>86e-4/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.3	15	84	132	139	31	23	26	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	15	30	103	523	486	574	1367	648	369	<i>51e-3/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 61: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{101} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 2.2	1e+00 7.4	1e-01 8.8	1e-02 10	1e-03 12	1e-04 13	1e-05 14	1e-07 15	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	8.3	4.4	6.3	8.1	8.5	10	11	13	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	3.1	4.3	3.3	4.9	6.0	5.8	6.6	7.4	8.9	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.8	2.9	4.1	5.0	5.1	5.8	6.7	7.7	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	7.0	4.7	6.7	8.0	7.6	8.7	10	12	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.8	2.8	3.6	4.5	4.6	5.5	6.1	7.1	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	2.4	2.1	2.8	4.0	4.1	4.5	5.1	6.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	2.4	1.7	2.3	2.9	3.0	3.5	3.9	4.6	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	3.3	2.7	2.1	2.7	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.4	5.3	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.6	2.9	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	41	61	22	13	14	17	16	18	20	24	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.2	3.0	3.0	4.2	5.8	5.8	6.7	7.6	9.3	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	3.3	3.4	4.7	6.0	6.0	6.9	7.8	9.3	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	7.3	12	19	23	24	27	31	37	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	3.6	2.5	1.6	2.1	2.5	2.6	2.9	3.0	3.1	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.1	7.7	25	48	88	113	155	206	293	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	40	64	83	171	386	434	455	494	568	9667	SPSA [9]

Table 62: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{102} in **05-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 2.2	1e+00 7.1	1e-01 10	1e-02 13	1e-03 14	1e-04 16	1e-05 17	1e-07 20	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	9.2	5.8	6.2	6.5	7.7	9.1	10	11	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	3.9	3.3	3.6	4.2	4.9	5.4	6.0	6.8	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	2.4	4.2	3.3	3.9	3.9	4.7	5.1	5.4	6.3	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	2.3	4.1	5.2	6.4	6.6	8.0	8.6	9.3	10	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.2	2.5	2.9	3.0	3.7	4.2	4.6	5.3	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.7	3.4	3.9	4.1	4.5	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.3	1.7	2.1	2.3	2.7	3.0	3.2	3.7	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	2.8	2.1	2.4	2.7	3.2	3.4	3.6	4.4	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.3	2.7	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.5	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	45	79	21	14	14	14	16	18	18	20	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.4	3.5	3.4	3.8	4.3	5.0	5.8	6.1	7.0	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	3.4	3.1	4.1	4.2	5.1	6.0	6.5	7.3	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	5.7	12	17	18	20	22	25	27	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	3.9	6.3	6.0	7.0	15	20	27	33	41	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.2	10	29	39	57	88	123	155	209	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	41	60	1987	4868	9895	9681	9199	11030	24495	<i>31e-3/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 63: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{103} in **05-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

103 Sphere moderate Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 2.2	1e+00 5.5	1e-01 6.0	1e-02 6.0	1e-03 6.3	1e-04 6.4	1e-05 7.0	1e-07 23	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	6.2	6.7	9.0	13	18	22	25	10	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	2.5	3.4	3.8	5.5	8.4	10	13	15	6.1	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.8	3.6	5.4	7.5	9.4	12	13	5.4	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	2.1	8.2	7.0	10	12	16	20	22	9.2	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	2.1	3.0	4.4	6.5	8.5	10	12	5.0	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	2.6	2.8	4.6	6.3	7.8	10	11	4.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	2.4	2.1	3.5	4.9	6.1	7.5	8.1	3.4	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	2.9	2.9	4.8	6.7	8.0	10	10	4.2	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.5	2.5	1.6	3.6	5.4	13	26	42	34	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	32	57	20	15	20	27	30	37	42	20	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	2.9	4.1	6.3	8.8	11	14	16	6.5	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.4	3.6	4.0	6.6	9.4	12	15	17	7.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	7.6	13	28	38	48	60	72	30	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	3.5	2.4	1.9	5.7	9.4	60	85	178	136	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.3	7.1	27	60	133	234	353	434	222	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	51	202	151	119	165	251	415	5261	93276	<i>42e-6/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 64: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{104} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss										
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	7.1	7.7	3.9	13	54	222	<i>98e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.9	8.2	3.3	4.3	13	14	13	13	12	11	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	3.2	2.9	1.6	9.3	14	24	24	22	21	18	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	4.0	8.0	3.5	13	50	98	410	<i>69e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2.0	3.7	1.6	4.2	4.9	4.2	6.6	6.1	5.8	5.3	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2.3	3.4	1.5	5.0	3.3	3.9	3.6	3.3	3.2	2.9	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	2.1	3.1	1.3	4.3	5.0	4.2	3.8	3.5	3.3	3.0	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.6	2.7	1.3	8.9	14	18	16	15	14	13	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.3	2.8	1.0	5.0	7.6	14	23	21	20	24	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	22	13	5.5	583	448	367	327	300	284	254	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	3.2	2.7	1.5	2.0	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	2.1	2.2	1.4	3.4	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.4	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	6.0	8.4	5.1	4.0	3.6	3.3	3.2	3.0	3.0	2.9	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1.1	2.8	1.2	3.4	6.0	14	24	100	<i>14e-4/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	7.1	7.8	10	381	432	1080	963	1891	<i>12e-1/5e4</i>	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	189	148	<i>36e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 65: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{105} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	8.3	4.9	3.1	7.8	19	35	70	69	<i>18e-2/1e4</i>	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	4.5	3.8	2.1	6.0	10	10	20	<i>88e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	3.4	4.4	2.1	3.5	5.0	23	<i>38e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	6.6	3.5	4.9	10	19	<i>14e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	3.6	6.0	2.8	12	14	16	34	70	<i>14e-2/1e4</i>	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2.4	6.0	2.6	5.9	12	21	34	33	67	64	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.5	1.8	1.0	4.3	8.7	23	69	67	66	64	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.7	1.5	1.6	6.6	16	22	70	68	<i>12e-2/1e4</i>	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.3	3.7	1.7	2.4	1.9	4.4	14	47	46	<i>45e-4/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	20	12	5.7	989	1355	702	675	659	648	626	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.8	5.3	2.8	3.0	1.3	0.71	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	2.3	2.7	1.6	3.8	1.6	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	6.3	6.9	4.8	5.9	2.2	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	0.91	2.8	1.7	2.7	3.3	5.0	<i>38e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	8.4	11	13	106	121	183	177	<i>51e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	499	250	<i>36e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 66: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{106} in **05-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	5.4	7.0	7.0	13	8.6	5.7	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	4.4	3.8	4.0	3.1	2.5	1.8	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	2.2	2.4	3.2	3.2	2.4	1.7	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	7.1	6.6	7.9	8.5	6.8	4.7	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2.3	2.4	2.7	3.6	2.4	1.6	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.8	1.3	2.0	2.8	2.0	1.4	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.95	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.6	0.92	1.3	2.0	1.4	0.96	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.67	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	2.4	1.2	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.0	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.73	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.9	0.85	0.85	1.5	2.4	5.8	9.1	30	200	<i>21e-5/8e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	16	7.9	8.4	7.5	4.9	22	15	15	14	14	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.0	1.7	2.3	1.9	1.6	1.2	0.87	0.89	0.90	0.92	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	2.1	2.1	3.1	2.5	2.2	1.7	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	5.9	4.6	8.3	5.3	4.0	2.8	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1.1	0.73	0.93	2.2	5.0	27	59	<i>79e-4/7e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	7.6	7.2	21	363	476	490	662	<i>44e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	609	1375	2049	<i>26e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 67: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{107} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	17	43	329	<i>18e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.8	7.7	6.7	28	76	315	<i>91e-5/1e4</i>	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	6.3	7.7	21	44	141	292	527	<i>17e-4/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	12	44	451	<i>23e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	13	8.9	13	24	57	109	<i>62e-5/1e4</i>	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	3.3	6.4	6.9	5.3	7.1	13	14	28	392	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.1	4.8	5.2	8.4	12	26	70	392	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	14	10	26	80	123	605	<i>66e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.7	68	317	<i>14e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	52	72	4.7	2.5	4.9	11	50	124	272	1086	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.2	1.9	2.8	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.4	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	2.0	2.1	0.98	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	3.1	24	20	17	18	19	19	18	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	2.7	60	194	<i>17e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.5	1.6	10	10	11	13	15	17	17	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	50	82	8500	<i>88e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 68: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{108} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 17	1e+00 1029	1e-01 2894	1e-02 4930	1e-03 6187	1e-04 8237	1e-05 11726	1e-07 16133	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	43	<i>23e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	2.7	27	22	<i>18e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	32	44	<i>19e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	2.8	90	69	<i>22e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	5.9	15	51	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	25	7.7	<i>84e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	3.7	15	13	<i>94e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	2.7	25	69	<i>15e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	3.0	155	44	<i>27e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	5825	9728	290	14	7.8	7.2	8.6	10	13	46	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	12	11	0.68	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.75	0.66	0.94	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.7	9.1	0.80	0.67	0.64	0.77	0.70	0.62	0.69	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	2.5	95	125	157	267	201	141	<i>89e-2/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	48	77	64	<i>41e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	0.79	13	30	70	<i>16e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	436	1305	89	10	242	<i>15e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 69: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{109} in **05-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 2.2	1e+00 11	1e-01 43	1e-02 75	1e-03 114	1e-04 139	1e-05 175	1e-07 189	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	2.7	5.5	2.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.5	2.5	3.4	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	3.3	4.1	2.5	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.3	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	3.4	2.1	1.0	0.86	0.77	0.89	0.89	1.1	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.8	3.5	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.4	3.4	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	3.4	2.2	1.0	0.98	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.4	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.9	1.4	0.79	0.82	0.81	0.88	0.93	1.2	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	1.9	1.1	0.57	0.52	0.50	0.53	0.52	0.67	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	3.0	1.3	0.79	0.76	0.68	0.68	0.66	0.88	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	4.3	3.6	26	47	<i>67e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	41	62	21	8.3	3.1	110	2422	<i>17e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.1	3.1	1.9	1.1	1.0	0.92	1.1	1.2	1.5	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.9	2.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.5	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	6.1	8.7	4.9	4.9	4.7	5.2	5.3	7.4	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.7	4.8	13	83	885	<i>41e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.3	9.0	20	12	14	16	20	25	53	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	50	101	138	953	3515	<i>13e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 70: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}^{2009}$ on f_{110} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss												
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 10	1e+02 48	1e+01 190	1e+00 6725	1e-01 24004	1e-02 1.12e5	1e-03 1.19e5	1e-04 1.19e5	1e-05 1.21e5	1e-07 1.22e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	
(1,2)-CMA-ES	18	8.0	32	<i>69e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES	[4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	3.1	3.9	3.4	2.3	2.8	0.61	<i>10e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES	[4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	6.2	3.2	2.1	1.5	2.9	1.3	<i>67e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	[4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	26	21	46	<i>92e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES	[2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	8.3	5.0	3.0	1.3	6.0	1.3	<i>74e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES	[5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	4.5	3.6	1.5	0.66	1.0	1.3	<i>37e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES	[5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.6	2.0	1.6	1.1	1.0	1.3	<i>28e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	[1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	3.8	2.4	3.6	1.6	2.8	<i>79e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES	[3]
avg NEWUOA	20	34	241	<i>27e+0/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA	[16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	62	37	13	<i>33e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	[8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.1	1.1	0.54	4.3	3.2	0.82	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.79	IPOP-aCMA-ES	[12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	0.81	0.65	0.73	8.3	3.4	0.75	0.72	0.73	0.73	0.74	IPOP-CMA-ES	[15]
CMA+DE-MOS	3.1	4.6	10	36	65	14	13	13	13	13	CMA+DE-MOS	[13]
NEWUOA	17	23	118	10	<i>13e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA	[16]
Basic RCGA	2.3	3.1	4.8	35	30	6.5	<i>18e-1/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA	[17]
SPSA	92	288	7418	<i>31e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA	[9]

Table 71: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{111} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 14	1e+02 214	1e+01 1371	1e+00 1.22e5	1e-01 1.77e6	1e-02 4.59e6	1e-03 4.61e6	1e-04 4.62e6	1e-05 6.20e6	1e-07 6.26e6	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	48	115	<i>12e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	27	26	<i>71e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	45	35	<i>72e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	64	81	<i>10e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	23	11	54	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	10	8.2	22	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	12	11	30	<i>22e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	23	22	104	<i>42e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	142	60	<i>20e+1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	121	39	22	12	<i>36e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	3.6	1.6	0.54	7.3	7.9	<i>88e-2/1e6</i>	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	2.5	1.1	0.78	15	3.9	3.2	3.2	3.2	2.4	2.4	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	6.5	34	16	2.8	0.89	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	78	97	<i>36e+1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	2.8	2.6	2.4	6.0	<i>26e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	79	60	1064	<i>20e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 72: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{112} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	4.7	8.9	8.0	9.3	7.0	7.8	7.8	7.7	7.4	7.1	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.8	2.1	2.2	2.7	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.9	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	3.6	3.0	2.7	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	10	6.5	6.4	8.6	11	11	14	14	13	12	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2.4	1.8	1.8	2.4	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2.7	1.9	2.0	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	2.0	2.3	2.1	1.1	0.81	0.76	0.76	0.74	0.72	0.70	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.4	1.8	3.1	4.9	23	110	<i>14e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	22	7.7	7.1	828	962	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.9	2.0	2.2	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	2.9	2.0	2.1	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	6.3	4.9	7.3	2.6	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.7	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	0.94	0.71	1.9	7.7	105	<i>44e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	7.6	8.1	25	130	174	859	<i>70e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	331	364	1070	<i>45e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 73: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{113} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	4.4	24	20	51	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1.9	15	7.1	3.1	13	31	<i>12e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.1	6.3	6.1	3.4	26	30	<i>18e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1.1	17	21	37	<i>91e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.4	6.2	8.8	3.3	11	14	29	29	29	<i>19e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.3	4.7	4.5	2.8	4.9	14	14	14	14	14	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.8	1.9	6.7	4.0	8.2	14	14	14	14	14	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	16	6.0	6.4	10	15	15	15	15	15	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.7	23	14	31	55	<i>13e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	67	13	19	132	251	<i>58e-2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.9	4.7	4.4	0.78	0.77	0.27	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.4	2.0	3.7	1.4	1.4	0.61	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.5	1.8	26	12	7.5	3.6	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	2.1	15	13	44	<i>18e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.7	1.9	10	22	23	18	35	35	35	48	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	72	23	1892	<i>56e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 74: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{114} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	114 Step-ellipsoid Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 2.3	1e+01 153	1e+00 2944	1e-01 11262	1e-02 15778	1e-03 16654	1e-04 16654	1e-05 16654	1e-07 16990	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1.3	144	101	<i>11e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1.5	87	25	<i>63e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.3	139	54	<i>79e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	38	56	56	<i>73e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2.3	55	18	<i>46e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2.3	17	14	<i>30e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	53	26	19	50	<i>21e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.4	23	21	<i>53e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.3	112	74	<i>11e+0/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	1787	721	152	61	<i>19e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.3	35	3.3	0.61	0.49	0.62	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.61	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.3	41	3.2	0.45	0.48	0.80	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.80	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.5	2.5	60	130	34	33	48	48	48	47	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	150	43	<i>89e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.2	1.2	12	16	31	<i>41e-2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1025	531	181	245	<i>29e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 75: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{115} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 2.0	1e+01 13	1e+00 97	1e-01 366	1e-02 455	1e-03 510	1e-04 510	1e-05 510	1e-07 594	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	2.2	3.8	5.3	5.7	66	<i>13e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1.7	2.5	1.9	2.4	6.6	54	137	137	137	240	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	2.9	3.0	2.3	1.6	7.5	44	88	88	88	247	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1.3	3.1	4.7	11	50	<i>17e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.7	5.5	23	134	134	134	119	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.3	2.6	13	26	26	26	70	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.7	1.4	2.9	1.7	4.6	26	49	49	49	55	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.7	1.6	1.9	1.8	6.9	45	89	89	89	<i>11e-3/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.1	1.6	1.1	4.2	28	<i>10e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	63	13	8.6	422	1803	3116	2779	2779	2779	<i>31e-2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.2	2.0	1.8	0.81	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.3	1.2	1.7	2.4	2.7	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.1	2.7	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.5	2.5	5.3	18	31	25	23	23	23	20	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	2.1	1.2	2.9	14	42	<i>34e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.4	1.7	74	68	108	769	703	703	703	604	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	58	64	222	1640	3866	<i>12e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 76: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{116} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	30	22	<i>42e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	8.2	5.0	8.0	16	<i>37e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	10	8.3	27	50	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	40	36	126	<i>72e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	7.2	5.3	6.6	15	<i>57e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	5.0	2.8	3.9	11	<i>33e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	6.0	4.2	4.0	6.0	32	27	27	<i>28e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	9.2	5.6	10	<i>86e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	29	35	<i>95e+0/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	565	5262	<i>33e+1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.7	1.1	0.88	0.62	0.57	0.59	0.73	0.73	0.68	0.68	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	3.7	3.8	3.1	2.3	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.1	1.4	8.3	6.1	4.9	5.3	5.2	5.1	4.7	4.5	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	27	20	<i>74e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	4.4	20	29	55	161	<i>22e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	2007	5359	<i>74e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 77: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{117} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	92	82	<i>32e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	28	49	<i>19e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	45	170	<i>31e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	103	167	<i>31e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	11	28	<i>13e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	13	10	<i>82e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	12	35	<i>13e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	21	24	<i>14e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	38	100	<i>30e+1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	721	308	135	<i>14e+1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	8.1	1.8	0.65	0.48	0.44	0.44	0.47	0.45	0.43	0.46	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	6.5	1.5	1.1	0.95	0.77	0.70	0.73	0.71	0.67	0.69	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.8	28	15	8.5	8.8	7.6	7.2	6.5	6.7	6.1	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	41	<i>41e+1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	4.9	10	12	48	<i>45e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	629	226	<i>13e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 78: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{118} in **05-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	6.1	15	9.2	6.5	6.4	7.3	7.8	7.9	8.2	8.8	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	6.1	10	4.6	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.0	2.0	1.8	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	5.0	7.2	2.6	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	6.6	16	18	10	16	17	19	24	39	44	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	3.4	7.0	2.3	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2.6	5.7	2.0	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	2.0	4.1	1.1	0.71	0.77	0.78	0.75	0.73	0.70	0.65	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	3.6	7.2	1.9	1.0	0.96	0.97	0.95	0.92	0.88	0.84	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	0.92	0.97	1.8	8.3	64	<i>18e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	27	689	337	622	889	3954	<i>21e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.5	6.1	1.7	0.88	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.91	0.90	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	3.0	9.0	3.2	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.7	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	7.6	17	3.7	1.8	1.7	1.8	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.8	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	0.80	1.3	4.3	10	116	<i>30e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	5.4	520	495	1424	<i>45e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	46	255	2588	<i>18e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 79: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{119} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of diff powers Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 2.3	1e+00 131	1e-01 227	1e-02 473	1e-03 2074	1e-04 6191	1e-05 7059	1e-07 9949	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	15	7.8	86	<i>12e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	2.5	6.4	2.5	6.1	70	<i>16e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	2.6	5.0	2.7	15	67	<i>18e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	11	34	10	626	<i>20e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	10	2.2	11	29	<i>62e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	15	1.1	3.7	7.8	22	23	<i>42e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	2.1	8.9	1.9	5.6	13	68	<i>55e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	6.0	4.0	12	88	<i>23e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	3.4	19	23	<i>42e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	62	92	14	9.3	26	129	99	<i>23e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	2.4	1.5	0.55	0.58	0.75	0.55	0.51	0.49	0.61	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	0.35	0.70	0.78	0.83	0.79	1.0	1.4	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.2	2.6	50	33	22	6.6	3.3	3.3	2.5	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.7	26	35	<i>90e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.2	1.4	6.3	11	8.2	6.1	20	<i>20e-5/5e4</i>	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	51	67	3075	<i>32e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 80: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{120} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of diff powers Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 3.2	1e+00 580	1e-01 3740	1e-02 6898	1e-03 14488	1e-04 35436	1e-05 66660	1e-07 1.10e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	77	33	<i>14e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	34	46	79	40	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	34	26	<i>10e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	63	51	34	<i>14e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	11	36	16	<i>77e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	2.9	13	6.6	<i>66e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	56	64	10	<i>69e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	38	15	<i>83e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	42	94	49	<i>15e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	1223	2182	675	85	33	24	49	<i>13e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	2.3	18	0.76	0.65	0.82	0.83	0.53	0.43	0.66	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	6.0	1.6	0.68	0.73	0.69	0.46	0.55	0.83	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.2	2.5	63	130	71	34	22	24	15	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	34	130	55	<i>24e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.3	0.87	17	12	23	<i>50e-3/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	194	1082	276	165	<i>84e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 81: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{121} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

121 Sum of diff powers Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 1.7	1e+00 22	1e-01 55	1e-02 107	1e-03 317	1e-04 525	1e-05 774	1e-07 1239	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	4.5	2.9	1.9	3.3	2.9	3.8	4.5	9.0	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	2.4	1.2	0.94	1.2	1.1	1.7	1.9	2.8	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	2.7	1.1	0.87	0.84	0.90	1.0	1.2	1.3	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	4.4	5.3	2.9	2.2	2.8	5.7	5.5	9.4	27	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	2.1	1.7	1.2	0.91	1.1	0.96	1.1	1.2	1.3	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	3.4	1.2	0.86	1.1	0.91	0.93	1.0	1.2	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	2.0	0.72	0.57	0.70	0.51	0.58	0.60	0.56	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	3.1	2.6	0.98	0.75	0.86	0.62	0.70	0.77	0.80	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	3.3	4.3	3.3	45	788	<i>38e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	46	62	16	4.3	2.9	482	4519	<i>55e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	3.3	3.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.78	0.79	0.84	0.82	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.6	1.9	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.7	2.1	2.3	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.2	3.5	4.9	4.0	4.0	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.5	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	3.9	4.8	15	76	<i>86e-3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.3	2.1	11	11	11	30	1408	<i>22e-5/5e4</i>	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	42	74	119	6850	<i>11e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 82: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{122} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	122 Schaffer F7 Gauss										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 2.0	1e+00 345	1e-01 1838	1e-02 4316	1e-03 6017	1e-04 8140	1e-05 10749	1e-07 22299	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	11	30	<i>80e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	6.0	4.7	<i>34e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.4	7.1	6.2	<i>57e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	38	40	<i>96e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	8.7	11	<i>53e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.3	5.2	<i>38e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.9	4.8	<i>35e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	20	12	<i>57e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	6.1	34	<i>11e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	33	46	13	7.1	28	81	<i>62e-3/3e4</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.1	2.9	1.4	0.71	0.53	0.48	0.42	0.66	0.58	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.3	4.8	0.94	0.44	0.51	0.56	0.57	0.68	0.67	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	1.8	110	65	35	26	19	15	10	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.9	14	91	<i>18e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.1	2.4	8.0	3.7	5.4	10	19	<i>72e-5/5e4</i>	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	53	87	117	<i>46e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 83: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{123} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

123 Schaffer F7 Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 2.2	1e+00 3213	1e-01 16301	1e-02 45479	1e-03 67138	1e-04 90334	1e-05 1.34e5	1e-07 4.43e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	75	<i>28e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	61	44	<i>22e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	75	<i>29e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	33	87	<i>26e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	40	44	<i>15e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	9.1	39	21	<i>15e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	39	46	<i>18e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	69	46	<i>14e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.5	80	<i>26e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	1886	2342	1444	43	<i>14e-1/4e4</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.3	28	0.94	0.66	0.46	0.67	0.62	0.56	0.60	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.4	23	0.62	0.52	0.46	0.74	0.80	0.65	0.45	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	1.7	71	96	<i>18e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.3	65	<i>37e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.1	2.1	30	<i>11e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	77217	77493	12178	<i>71e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 84: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}^{2009}$ on f_{124} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 1.9	1e+00 40	1e-01 208	1e-02 1795	1e-03 4096	1e-04 5279	1e-05 9067	1e-07 19040	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	11	127	700	<i>52e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	3.4	2.7	30	83	<i>65e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	4.3	5.5	22	<i>60e-3/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1.8	96	277	<i>88e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	3.1	2.8	21	119	<i>13e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	2.4	2.5	15	39	<i>41e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	1.8	7.6	18	79	<i>46e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	17	19	72	<i>10e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.1	6.1	89	<i>63e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	54	76	19	5.7	80	<i>44e-3/6e4</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.3	2.6	1.2	2.1	0.85	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.59	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.8	2.8	1.3	4.0	1.0	1.2	1.1	0.93	0.65	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	1.7	5.9	15	3.5	2.4	2.2	3.3	1.9	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1.1	3.0	158	<i>11e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.1	2.4	63	41	10	11	66	<i>55e-5/5e4</i>	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	59	96	516	16583	<i>39e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 85: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{125} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 0.20	1e+00 0.20	1e-01 0.20	1e-02 25031	1e-03 47750	1e-04 48260	1e-05 48600	1e-07 49199	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	120	59424	<i>92e-3/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.3	69	14809	<i>69e-3/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	36	32993	<i>81e-3/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	247	1.04e5	<i>11e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	21	12610	<i>58e-3/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	24	12571	<i>58e-3/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	20	12034	<i>54e-3/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	48	23166	<i>82e-3/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2.0	81	9966	<i>36e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	49	65	70	153	3423	5.7	<i>10e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	26	3142	0.75	0.53	0.56	0.57	0.57	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	27	2599	0.79	0.78	1.3	1.3	1.3	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.2	34	810	5.0	16	16	16	16	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	3.9	15	6088	2.8	<i>40e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	26	1574	1.3	<i>82e-4/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	41	60	35786	35837	52690	56	<i>51e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 86: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{126} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

126 Griewank-Rosenbrock Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 0.20	1e+00 0.20	1e-01 0.20	1e-02 1.75e5	1e-03 ∞	1e-04 ∞	1e-05 ∞	1e-07 ∞	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	2015	7.40e5	<i>25e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	618	<i>22e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	719	<i>20e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	32	585	7.17e5	<i>26e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	532	<i>15e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	356	2.33e5	<i>12e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	82	1.14e5	<i>12e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	282	3.57e5	<i>18e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	22	1636	4.54e5	<i>26e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	1548	1777	5228	7914	99874	8.3	<i>23e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	62	7882	1.4	<i>51e-4/4e5</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	63	10254	1.2	1.21e7	1.87e7	1.88e7	1.89e7	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.2	34	568	<i>23e-3/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	1.2	1053	3.47e5	<i>26e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	33	1409	0.59	<i>11e-3/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1.00e6	2.00e6	3.25e6	3.25e6	7.17e6	<i>16e+2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 87: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{127} in **05-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 0.20	1e+00 0.20	1e-01 0.20	1e-02 25716	1e-03 68336	1e-04 77023	1e-05 77898	1e-07 79070	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	85	13853	5.8	<i>55e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	31	5212	2.7	<i>44e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	27	4508	5.8	<i>29e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.3	60	37537	<i>64e-3/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	18	7947	<i>32e-3/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	17	3986	5.6	<i>24e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	24	7806	<i>42e-3/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	17	9717	5.7	<i>29e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2.0	18	5233	<i>53e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	44	62	77	181	1992	3.6	<i>92e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1.1	30	3085	0.65	0.50	0.45	0.46	0.46	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	15	1542	0.69	0.58	0.63	0.64	0.65	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.2	35	656	0.96	4.6	20	20	19	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.5	14	7248	<i>62e-3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	41	991	1.8	<i>95e-4/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	45	56	122	21588	3.40e6	<i>15e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 88: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{128} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 22	1e+00 850	1e-01 1562	1e-02 2100	1e-03 2489	1e-04 2984	1e-05 3443	1e-07 4232	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.8	5.9	10	16	29	24	<i>80e-3/1e4</i>	.	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.1	1.7	2.1	1.9	1.8	3.1	3.1	2.9	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.2	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.2	1.8	2.1	3.7	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	10	4.8	21	71	60	<i>31e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.1	2.8	2.9	2.5	3.6	3.9	4.6	7.4	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	2.7	1.6	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.6	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.4	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.2	1.9	1.9	2.0	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.3	3.1	4.1	5.8	6.0	5.2	13	16	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	11	9.3	7.5	42	<i>31e-2/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	37	52	2.5	84	134	193	163	136	118	155	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	2.6	1.8	47	35	30	25	22	18	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.0	14	166	216	183	152	132	108	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.7	58	108	81	68	57	50	41	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	12	17	43	<i>19e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	0.69	13	16	16	21	19	16	17	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	27	55	3631	<i>89e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 89: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{129} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

129 Gallagher Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 13	1e+00 2142	1e-01 11889	1e-02 46274	1e-03 56948	1e-04 72928	1e-05 1.02e5	1e-07 1.16e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	168	<i>33e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	111	<i>34e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	120	22	<i>23e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	192	70	<i>56e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	32	12	12	<i>20e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	28	21	<i>17e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	32	16	<i>19e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	37	15	12	3.1	<i>20e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	68	20	<i>30e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	2252	4483	2336	116	120	31	25	20	14	12	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	11	10	16	8.7	7.0	5.5	3.9	3.5	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	8.5	13	18	8.2	6.7	5.2	3.8	3.3	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	3.9	68	42	16	13	10	7.5	6.6	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	124	16	<i>61e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	2.7	8.5	13	7.4	6.1	10	7.2	6.4	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	626	2123	1131	<i>58e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 90: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{130} in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 11	1e+00 162	1e-01 607	1e-02 1640	1e-03 6565	1e-04 6746	1e-05 6778	1e-07 6906	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	14	48	23	10	2.9	2.8	2.8	3.6	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	7.2	17	8.7	3.3	0.82	0.80	0.80	0.79	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.3	14	5.1	1.9	0.47	0.46	0.46	0.46	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	37	58	41	15	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.8	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.4	25	12	4.5	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.0	15	10	3.8	0.95	0.93	0.93	0.92	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	6.8	4.2	3.5	1.3	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.32	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.3	14	15	5.5	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1.3	6.4	5.9	6.0	3.0	13	<i>14e-3/6e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	35	57	5.2	203	146	124	104	102	<i>31e-3/1e5</i>	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1.4	143	391	145	36	35	35	35	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	59	321	147	37	36	36	35	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	2.4	295	221	121	30	29	29	29	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.3	11	10	19	<i>62e-3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	2.5	250	74	29	7.5	9.2	9.3	10	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	40	62	142	1955	<i>19e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 91: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{101} in **10-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 2.6	1e+00 4.0	1e-01 18	1e-02 19	1e-03 19	1e-04 20	1e-05 21	1e-07 23	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	50	13	14	4.3	5.1	6.0	6.7	7.4	8.8	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	14	7.1	7.8	2.4	3.2	3.8	4.2	4.6	5.5	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	29	5.6	5.8	1.9	2.5	3.0	3.4	3.8	4.5	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	54	14	13	4.2	5.2	6.1	6.9	7.8	9.3	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	10	5.1	6.0	2.0	2.6	3.0	3.5	3.8	4.7	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	10	4.0	5.0	1.6	2.2	2.6	3.0	3.3	4.1	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	12	3.0	3.9	1.3	1.7	2.0	2.3	2.6	3.2	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	14	4.7	5.4	1.7	2.2	2.6	3.0	3.3	4.0	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	20	2.9	3.4	0.99	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	153	253	33	32	8.5	10	11	12	12	14	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	6.6	5.1	7.2	2.4	3.2	3.8	4.5	5.0	6.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	11	5.6	7.4	2.5	3.4	4.1	4.7	5.2	6.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	5.9	17	33	8.8	12	15	16	18	22	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	15	2.1	3.0	1.0	1.6	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.9	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	7.1	28	63	28	46	121	229	288	377	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	104	177	4405	5820	2316	4151	5693	6382	7916	<i>34e-5/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 92: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{102} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 2.6	1e+00 4.1	1e-01 20	1e-02 21	1e-03 23	1e-04 24	1e-05 27	1e-07 30	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	50	15	15	4.4	5.2	5.7	6.4	6.8	7.9	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	25	7.3	7.7	2.3	2.8	3.1	3.6	3.7	4.1	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	23	4.9	6.3	1.9	2.3	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.5	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	26	22	21	5.8	6.6	7.4	8.2	8.6	12	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	21	5.8	6.4	2.0	2.5	2.9	3.2	3.4	3.8	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	7.7	4.6	5.1	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.6	2.7	3.1	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	14	3.6	4.4	1.3	1.6	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.5	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	17	4.7	4.9	1.6	2.0	2.2	2.5	2.7	3.0	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	31	2.9	3.2	0.89	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.7	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	147	242	35	32	8.9	10	10	11	11	11	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	10	5.0	6.9	2.2	2.8	3.3	3.7	4.0	4.4	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	6.0	5.3	7.2	2.3	2.9	3.3	3.8	4.0	4.4	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	5.9	19	32	8.3	11	13	13	15	16	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	18	3.5	7.9	8.0	30	48	81	146	547	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	4.1	26	57	26	42	102	193	234	285	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	124	183	1.56e5	3.44e5	<i>21e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 93: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{103} in **10-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	103 Sphere moderate Cauchy										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 2.6	1e+00 4.7	1e-01 13	1e-02 14	1e-03 36	1e-04 36	1e-05 36	1e-07 36	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	34	14	12	6.4	7.4	3.6	4.3	5.2	6.8	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	27	6.8	6.4	3.3	4.0	2.1	2.5	3.0	3.8	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	16	6.1	6.0	3.0	3.5	1.7	2.1	2.4	3.1	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	26	12	11	5.5	6.3	3.1	3.8	4.7	6.1	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	16	5.8	5.7	3.0	3.6	1.8	2.2	2.6	3.5	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	13	4.7	4.6	2.6	3.1	1.5	1.9	2.2	2.9	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	14	3.5	3.4	1.9	2.2	1.1	1.4	1.6	2.1	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	17	4.8	4.4	2.4	2.8	1.4	1.7	2.1	2.7	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	31	3.0	2.9	1.8	6.8	25	100	1518	<i>39e-6/8e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	121	223	32	25	12	12	5.5	6.6	7.7	10	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	7.8	4.9	6.0	3.5	4.2	2.1	2.6	3.1	4.0	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	11	5.0	6.0	3.4	4.2	2.1	2.6	3.1	4.0	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	5.9	16	28	13	17	8.4	11	13	18	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	15	2.3	3.6	5.2	29	95	243	1050	<i>15e-5/6e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	5.3	33	55	43	74	83	157	201	253	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	105	725	112	109	57	88	1065	4244	<i>18e-5/1e5</i>	.	SPSA [9]

Table 94: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{104} in **10-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	4.6	3.5	3.8	43	<i>24e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.4	2.7	2.1	11	41	78	74	71	<i>72e-2/1e4</i>	.	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	2.0	2.0	1.5	15	26	76	72	70	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	7.1	4.9	3.2	26	<i>15e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.8	2.5	2.1	7.4	87	<i>56e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.5	0.98	1.3	32	41	77	73	70	68	64	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.1	1.8	1.2	13	43	<i>92e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.6	1.8	1.4	8.2	26	77	<i>40e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	0.51	0.78	0.74	7.1	22	<i>67e-2/8e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	10	4.7	3.5	38	23	21	20	19	18	17	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.9	1.2	1.2	2.0	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.9	1.9	2.2	1.6	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.99	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	6.3	4.4	3.9	3.5	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.7	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	0.51	1.6	5.4	4.6	47	<i>55e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	8.1	11	74	<i>73e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	41	24	<i>70e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 95: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{105} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	5.5	5.1	4.5	15	20	<i>21e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.7	1.9	1.7	10	<i>19e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.9	2.7	1.8	7.5	6.2	20	<i>14e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	5.5	6.0	5.6	32	21	<i>35e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2.0	1.9	1.3	7.5	9.5	<i>15e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.6	1.9	1.1	12	10	<i>20e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.2	1.9	1.4	6.6	10	<i>59e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.6	1.6	1.1	6.2	3.8	10	19	<i>38e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	0.64	1.7	2.5	5.1	3.7	16	<i>88e-2/8e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	11	5.0	2.5	304	93	90	88	85	84	176	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.8	1.2	1.0	2.0	0.64	0.63	0.63	0.61	0.61	0.61	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	2.1	1.4	2.2	2.4	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.80	0.80	0.81	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	7.5	3.9	2.4	13	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	0.35	1.2	11	10	<i>52e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	10	10	45	328	<i>61e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	29	20	<i>70e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 96: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{106} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	5.6	6.9	8.3	4.6	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.4	2.5	3.2	2.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	2.4	3.2	3.2	1.5	0.85	0.89	0.90	0.91	0.91	0.90	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	4.8	8.0	8.1	7.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2.0	2.3	2.5	1.5	0.85	0.88	0.90	0.91	0.91	0.92	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.8	2.1	2.2	1.4	0.77	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.82	0.82	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.2	1.1	1.8	0.84	0.48	0.50	0.51	0.51	0.52	0.52	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.9	2.3	2.5	1.6	0.85	0.86	0.86	0.87	0.87	0.87	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	0.56	0.67	1.3	5.4	19	162	<i>13e-2/9e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	9.2	6.6	6.6	3.0	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.1	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.9	1.9	2.4	1.7	0.96	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.8	2.4	2.8	2.0	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	7.3	6.9	7.9	3.3	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	0.38	0.86	1.6	7.5	14	26	<i>11e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	7.5	15	138	463	443	888	<i>66e-1/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	165	1194	22845	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 97: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{107} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 95	1e+00 225	1e-01 387	1e-02 521	1e-03 735	1e-04 960	1e-05 1134	1e-07 1430	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	512	199	<i>11e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	22	15	632	<i>35e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	53	22	646	<i>54e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	415	702	<i>14e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	127	36	<i>54e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	30	12	139	<i>21e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	170	10	<i>34e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	20	36	299	<i>54e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	32	227	<i>15e+0/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	113	194	1.3	1.3	2.1	3.4	3.3	5.2	5.9	17	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	6.1	0.97	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.1	0.89	0.96	0.94	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	10	0.85	0.86	0.93	1.1	1.0	0.96	0.90	0.82	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	5.9	16	64	45	36	30	24	21	17	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	15	130	<i>23e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	2.4	3.3	3.4	2.9	3.4	4.7	5.7	6.3	6.4	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	82	145	14817	<i>28e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 98: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{108} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 1002	1e+00 3143	1e-01 4759	1e-02 7751	1e-03 10929	1e-04 13571	1e-05 17900	1e-07 30809	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1016	<i>28e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1587	<i>26e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	926	<i>29e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	758	<i>27e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	924	67	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	965	149	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	707	33	<i>16e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	896	<i>22e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1432	<i>27e+0/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	11234	22743	4.5	3.5	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.9	7.5	12	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	376	1.0	0.63	0.98	0.88	0.98	1.0	1.1	0.88	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	63	0.78	0.64	0.69	0.77	0.70	0.82	0.78	0.77	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	5.9	46	479	<i>74e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	593	<i>28e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	5.1	4.6	225	<i>21e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	3022	8166	5.7	22	<i>78e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 99: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{109} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	109 Sphere Cauchy										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 2.8	1e+00 29	1e-01 50	1e-02 82	1e-03 116	1e-04 146	1e-05 179	1e-07 242	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	55	15	2.5	2.8	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.5	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	31	6.0	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.98	1.0	1.0	1.1	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	28	5.8	1.0	0.94	0.83	0.77	0.79	0.78	0.75	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	55	14	2.6	2.4	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.1	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	16	5.4	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	13	4.2	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.81	0.83	0.87	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	12	3.4	0.69	0.66	0.55	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.53	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	19	4.4	0.99	0.94	0.83	0.77	0.77	0.76	0.77	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	20	11	38	665	<i>31e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	125	202	31	4.7	3.5	485	<i>49e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	10	4.5	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	6.3	4.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	5.9	16	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.9	5.0	5.3	5.6	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	16	12	77	<i>57e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	4.4	28	10	12	23	47	48	46	41	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	101	733	495	368	13065	<i>36e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 100: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{110} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	<i>50e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	41	159	<i>16e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	123	1016	<i>44e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	<i>65e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	36	229	<i>11e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	8.0	29	<i>64e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	14	35	<i>79e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	50	472	<i>21e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	623	<i>16e+2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	14	12	5.7	<i>76e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.1	2.5	0.94	<i>55e-1/1e6</i>	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	0.95	1.4	0.92	<i>57e-1/1e6</i>	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	8.6	9.0	12	0.47	<i>74e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	101	<i>83e+1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	3.8	5.2	2.7	<i>85e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	67	886	<i>10e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 101: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{111} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 384	1e+02 1951	1e+01 8293	1e+00 ∞	1e-01 ∞	1e-02 ∞	1e-03 ∞	1e-04 ∞	1e-05 ∞	1e-07 ∞	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	380	<i>68e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	371	<i>45e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	<i>76e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	<i>65e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	<i>46e+2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	121	<i>21e+2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	120	<i>43e+2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	388	<i>46e+2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	263	<i>10e+3/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	11	5.3	7.9	<i>93e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	0.79	0.47	0.72	<i>70e-1/9e5</i>	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	0.63	0.57	0.85	<i>70e-1/1e6</i>	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	17	17	15	<i>89e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	<i>74e+2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	0.93	0.57	25	<i>10e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	9.2	35	<i>87e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 102: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best } 2009}$ on f_{112} in **10-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 10	1e+02 39	1e+01 88	1e+00 1158	1e-01 1611	1e-02 1739	1e-03 1824	1e-04 1891	1e-05 1946	1e-07 2044	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	5.2	3.0	2.0	6.3	5.8	5.9	7.3	7.3	8.4	9.4	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.0	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.6	1.1	1.8	0.83	0.78	0.81	0.82	0.82	0.81	0.81	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	3.6	2.5	10	8.6	9.4	13	15	15	14	24	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.6	1.5	1.5	2.1	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.8	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.4	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.0	0.92	0.81	0.56	0.50	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.6	1.2	0.87	1.1	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.98	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	0.54	0.83	10	98	71	<i>42e-1/8e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	9.0	3.3	2.2	<i>77e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.6	0.92	0.83	0.95	0.92	0.97	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.98	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.6	0.88	0.76	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	6.4	3.4	2.8	1.9	1.9	2.4	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	0.37	0.39	27	<i>81e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	7.8	9.2	52	646	<i>77e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	127	468	16041	<i>29e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 103: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{113} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 15	1e+01 447	1e+00 2763	1e-01 10288	1e-02 10882	1e-03 10898	1e-04 10898	1e-05 10898	1e-07 11235	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	71	99	<i>37e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	10	17	29	<i>97e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	151	16	335	<i>16e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	10	203	<i>50e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2.9	18	70	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	3.2	9.2	18	<i>77e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	30	13	11	<i>77e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	34	21	156	<i>19e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	4.9	59	<i>40e+0/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	306	5.1	12	63	<i>11e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	8.6	1.3	0.77	0.61	0.31	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.32	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	5.7	3.1	1.1	0.71	0.66	0.65	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.64	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.6	4.9	23	13	5.9	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.4	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	32	47	<i>39e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	2.7	2.7	3.0	4.5	21	<i>53e-2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	188	7.8	<i>32e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 104: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{114} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 31	1e+01 3661	1e+00 12781	1e-01 33069	1e-02 38641	1e-03 39025	1e-04 39025	1e-05 39025	1e-07 40649	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	515	382	<i>98e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	244	402	<i>97e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	247	294	<i>83e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	516	536	<i>11e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	229	285	<i>82e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	270	91	<i>58e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	678	160	<i>79e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	398	375	<i>88e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	104	242	<i>82e+0/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	28455	402	16	56	<i>41e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	136	7.5	0.60	0.44	0.45	0.43	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.43	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	3.6	6.3	0.66	0.66	0.60	0.60	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.61	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.6	38	<i>21e+0/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	32	114	<i>88e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	3.1	10	4.0	<i>57e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	11115	267	46	<i>14e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 105: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{115} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy											
$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	22	6.7	11	253	<i>23e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.8	4.3	2.5	16	174	<i>69e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	11	3.1	2.0	14	<i>65e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	7.5	9.3	14	<i>27e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	4.1	2.5	3.1	15	173	<i>75e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	4.5	1.8	1.2	15	78	<i>61e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	2.7	1.9	1.6	10	50	<i>39e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	6.9	2.5	2.7	18	164	<i>69e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	7.0	2.6	4.2	386	<i>24e-1/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	185	13	3.9	953	<i>13e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	3.5	1.9	0.91	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	3.5	2.0	1.0	3.7	5.3	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.6	8.1	3.4	53	93	122	120	120	120	118	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	11	2.4	19	<i>41e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	2.6	6.2	37	45	269	579	566	566	566	557	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	177	41	34	<i>31e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 106: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{116} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 105	1e+02 1652	1e+01 7144	1e+00 10554	1e-01 10911	1e-02 11251	1e-03 11584	1e-04 11938	1e-05 12316	1e-07 16727	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1414	<i>59e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	683	<i>24e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	<i>28e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	<i>55e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	131	<i>99e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	39	<i>36e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	122	<i>90e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	220	<i>13e+2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	974	<i>35e+2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	790	426	<i>70e+1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.9	0.87	0.34	0.38	0.42	0.42	0.43	0.44	0.44	0.35	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	4.4	1.9	0.98	0.94	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.84	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	24	14	6.2	5.3	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.6	3.4	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	<i>28e+2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	6.6	12	32	<i>23e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	4342	<i>29e+2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 107: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{117} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 2241	1e+02 10129	1e+01 22785	1e+00 39931	1e-01 48902	1e-02 62584	1e-03 65419	1e-04 68653	1e-05 71653	1e-07 79447	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	<i>44e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	<i>55e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	<i>51e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	<i>76e+2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	<i>32e+2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	<i>33e+2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	<i>32e+2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	<i>39e+2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	<i>46e+2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	114	<i>13e+2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	0.58	0.50	0.36	0.33	0.42	0.36	0.38	0.39	0.40	0.47	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	0.88	0.71	0.73	0.72	0.71	0.60	0.63	0.65	0.66	0.70	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	20	50	70	<i>21e+1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	27	<i>51e+2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.2	10	<i>12e+1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	662	<i>24e+2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 108: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{118} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	12	11	7.4	8.4	9.1	9.4	11	14	13	22	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	6.3	5.0	2.9	2.7	2.4	2.2	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	5.1	4.2	2.5	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	11	12	15	12	17	22	25	41	38	43	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	4.7	4.1	2.4	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.9	1.9	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	3.9	2.9	2.0	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	3.2	2.3	1.3	0.87	0.85	0.81	0.75	0.75	0.72	0.68	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	4.2	3.6	2.1	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.0	3.2	17	<i>37e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	18	13	377	1238	3227	<i>60e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	4.2	3.5	1.4	0.89	0.89	0.88	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.88	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	4.8	5.2	2.9	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	12	8.6	3.4	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.4	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1.2	3.9	57	<i>99e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	31	433	1202	<i>22e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	26	133	11012	<i>29e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 109: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{119} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	119 Sum of diff powers Gauss										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 13	1e+00 312	1e-01 497	1e-02 1120	1e-03 3654	1e-04 17910	1e-05 30139	1e-07 39964	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	42	53	<i>38e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	7.6	16	143	<i>17e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	20	15	457	<i>17e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	35	68	<i>45e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	5.3	24	222	<i>18e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	20	16	149	<i>14e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	12	15	47	<i>10e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	6.2	19	466	<i>21e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	25	195	<i>65e-1/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	144	220	5.2	6.1	6.0	33	<i>32e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	5.1	3.5	1.2	1.5	1.3	0.67	0.31	0.27	0.28	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	5.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	0.90	1.1	0.65	0.62	0.72	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	3.0	64	62	69	32	12	2.9	1.8	1.5	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	11	120	<i>60e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.9	3.3	3.0	3.2	2.7	194	<i>22e-4/5e4</i>	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	80	117	8904	<i>10e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 110: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ 2009 on f_{120} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	120 Sum of diff powers Uniform										
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 47	1e+00 3991	1e-01 7463	1e-02 15677	1e-03 43945	1e-04 93047	1e-05 1.27e5	1e-07 2.50e5	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	307	893	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	397	315	<i>11e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	463	251	<i>97e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	704	275	<i>95e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	22	108	<i>67e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	233	57	<i>57e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	168	57	<i>65e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	82	129	<i>69e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	439	157	<i>97e-1/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	5333	13323	200	10	13	7.6	<i>34e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	112	6.2	0.69	0.70	0.75	0.54	0.44	0.57	0.54	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	42	6.6	0.60	0.57	0.74	0.64	0.60	0.63	0.55	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	3.0	38	188	<i>44e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	179	152	<i>11e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	2.3	6.6	13	<i>80e-2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	2288	9582	300	<i>55e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 111: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{121} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	121 Sum of diff powers Cauchy										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 7.2	1e+00 32	1e-01 63	1e-02 148	1e-03 368	1e-04 694	1e-05 999	1e-07 1821	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	20	5.8	3.3	3.0	2.7	2.3	2.7	4.0	80	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	10	2.4	1.3	1.2	1.0	0.95	1.1	1.4	2.0	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	5.1	1.7	0.92	0.93	0.71	0.66	0.70	0.89	1.3	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	17	4.5	2.9	2.4	2.1	2.6	4.1	5.8	81	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	9.1	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.4	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	13	1.3	0.91	0.93	0.85	0.77	0.83	1.0	1.1	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	10	1.1	0.71	0.61	0.48	0.44	0.46	0.51	0.57	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	11	1.1	1.00	0.90	0.75	0.65	0.61	0.74	0.81	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	15	2.1	153	1671	<i>76e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	142	200	8.6	5.0	4.1	9513	<i>20e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	7.1	1.4	1.0	1.1	0.94	0.78	0.70	0.72	0.69	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	4.3	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.5	1.7	2.0	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	3.0	4.2	4.0	4.2	3.7	2.9	2.5	2.6	2.3	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	7.9	3.4	215	<i>11e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.9	3.3	12	12	23	423	<i>22e-4/5e4</i>	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	100	206	236	20545	<i>46e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 112: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{122} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 5.5	1e+00 1156	1e-01 3317	1e-02 9790	1e-03 14074	1e-04 17571	1e-05 43863	1e-07 81680	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	58	<i>41e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	13	<i>28e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.8	17	<i>27e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	17	53	<i>45e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	4.1	19	<i>33e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	21	<i>26e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	4.1	12	<i>30e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	2.1	22	<i>32e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	3.7	28	<i>42e-1/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	118	217	11	2.9	<i>10e-1/2e3</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	2.5	1.5	0.85	0.87	0.50	0.61	0.74	0.50	0.72	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.6	0.61	0.89	0.63	0.67	0.63	0.58	0.80	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.4	3.6	42	28	15	13	10	4.9	3.2	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	2.5	45	<i>47e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.5	2.1	1.7	6.9	8.4	15	<i>56e-3/5e4</i>	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1.54e5	1.54e5	6624	<i>76e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 113: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{123} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

123 Schaffer F7 Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 4.0	1e+00 9439	1e-01 38928	1e-02 66490	1e-03 1.29e5	1e-04 1.99e5	1e-05 3.33e5	1e-07 1.03e6	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1677	<i>82e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	85	591	<i>67e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	674	<i>65e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1094	<i>71e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	143	<i>56e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	32	215	<i>46e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	157	<i>52e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	238	<i>61e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.3	181	<i>68e-1/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	9772	12675	709	<i>63e-1/5e3</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	27	0.66	0.65	0.76	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.72	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	2.0	22	0.67	0.63	0.72	0.61	0.74	0.82	0.96	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.4	202	<i>42e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	31	192	<i>64e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.3	3.7	<i>23e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1.14e6	1.15e6	3.51e5	<i>66e+2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 114: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{124} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 3.7	1e+00 61	1e-01 1045	1e-02 3430	1e-03 5289	1e-04 7378	1e-05 13712	1e-07 33136	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	45	<i>45e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	4.8	192	<i>77e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	4.3	153	<i>85e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	361	<i>47e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	4.1	2.7	302	<i>12e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	2.3	112	<i>65e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	3.1	1.7	74	<i>55e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	3.1	24	1113	<i>15e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	3.5	12	<i>24e-1/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	112	177	14	3.5	33	<i>13e-2/5e3</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1.9	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.2	0.83	0.51	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	3.9	1.8	1.2	1.5	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.0	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.4	3.1	257	15	7.2	5.4	4.6	3.0	1.6	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	5.0	11	<i>34e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.2	3.5	13	13	11	14	<i>10e-4/5e4</i>	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	71512	71549	4897	<i>76e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 115: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{125} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 0.10	1e+00 0.10	1e-01 0.10	1e-02 1.05e5	1e-03 2.97e5	1e-04 6.38e5	1e-05 6.40e5	1e-07 6.44e5	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	2738	<i>50e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1214	<i>39e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	469	<i>41e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	4806	<i>54e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	947	<i>38e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	381	<i>37e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	500	<i>34e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1304	<i>40e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	5.9	39	<i>19e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	129	163	186	372	2.30e5	3.2	<i>14e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	115	2.71e5	0.66	0.57	0.40	0.41	0.41	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	94	2.69e5	0.82	0.70	0.43	0.43	0.44	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	426	3.25e5	15	<i>26e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	3.8	84	<i>22e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	178	4.66e5	<i>88e-3/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	71510	71522	71531	71588	2.87e6	<i>12e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 116: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{126} in **10-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

126 Griewank-Rosenbrock Uniform											
$\frac{\Delta f_{\text{target}}}{\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D}$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 0.10	1e+00 0.10	1e-01 0.10	1e-02 ∞	1e-03 ∞	1e-04 ∞	1e-05 ∞	1e-07 ∞	$\frac{\Delta f_{\text{target}}}{\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D}$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	50600	<i>88e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	30608	<i>74e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	56376	<i>84e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	53386	<i>86e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	10553	<i>59e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	8849	<i>56e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.9	8027	<i>57e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	9144	<i>72e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	9.4	49115	<i>89e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	2497	3030	3915	13974	4.50e6	<i>12e-2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	304	3.12e6	1.92e7	6.27e7	<i>80e-3/3e5</i>	.	.	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	558	3.54e6	<i>86e-3/3e5</i>	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	485	7.12e6	<i>17e-2/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	29	11670	<i>66e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	147	1.11e6	<i>12e-2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1.40e7	<i>89e+2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 117: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{127} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 0.10	1e+00 0.10	1e-01 0.10	1e-02 79920	1e-03 1.35e5	1e-04 2.06e5	1e-05 2.08e5	1e-07 2.11e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	418	<i>30e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	137	4.77e5	<i>18e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	131	1.43e6	<i>22e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	534	<i>34e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	116	2.11e5	<i>15e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	78	1.59e5	<i>11e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	99	1.46e5	<i>12e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	122	4.70e5	<i>15e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	40	<i>20e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	115	148	159	397	4.47e5	<i>72e-3/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	78	75310	0.40	0.57	0.49	0.49	0.50	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	66	1.08e5	0.63	0.80	0.62	0.63	0.64	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	273	35137	1.0	<i>66e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.4	79	<i>25e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.2	206	2.25e5	<i>25e-3/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	112	144	652	1.34e5	1.41e7	<i>59e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 118: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{128} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 915	1e+00 13803	1e-01 14050	1e-02 14393	1e-03 29169	1e-04 29302	1e-05 38266	1e-07 52875	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	155	<i>15e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	8.5	4.9	10	<i>80e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	15	<i>78e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	77	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	11	<i>81e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.7	3.2	<i>46e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	6.5	2.2	<i>53e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	10	<i>82e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	32	<i>21e+0/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	124	170	2.1	6.9	6.8	8.9	5.4	10	7.5	13	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	0.65	29	29	28	14	14	11	7.7	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	0.47	29	29	28	14	14	11	7.7	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	52	51	51	106	52	52	40	29	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	<i>24e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	3.3	4.9	5.1	5.1	2.6	4.0	3.1	4.1	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	79	101	<i>64e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 119: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{129} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	129 Gallagher Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 5397	1e+00 1.36e5	1e-01 1.41e5	1e-02 1.42e5	1e-03 1.43e5	1e-04 1.44e5	1e-05 1.45e5	1e-07 1.47e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>44e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>34e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	27	<i>32e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	28	<i>38e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	13	<i>21e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>24e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>23e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>28e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	<i>39e+0/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	5487	16432	13	5.3	10	10	10	10	10	10	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	0.69	2.5	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.9	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	0.63	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	25	<i>11e+0/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	<i>39e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	4.4	2.7	<i>65e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1935	9633	<i>41e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 120: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{130} in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 48	1e+00 588	1e-01 3747	1e-02 7052	1e-03 7091	1e-04 7151	1e-05 7219	1e-07 7334	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	12	40	8.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	10	6.4	1.6	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.82	0.81	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	4.4	2.4	0.84	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.44	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	11	8.0	2.6	1.6	1.8	2.3	3.3	3.3	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.1	5.9	1.5	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.81	0.81	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.8	5.5	1.3	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.67	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.9	2.3	0.77	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.40	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	5.0	5.7	1.7	0.89	0.88	0.88	0.87	0.86	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1.9	6.0	8.6	<i>41e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	108	153	2.5	121	41	40	198	<i>15e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	2.3	309	49	26	26	25	25	25	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.6	582	126	67	67	66	66	65	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	324	378	81	43	43	42	42	41	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	2.6	11	8.0	8.8	8.7	<i>14e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	53	55	11	5.8	6.1	6.2	9.3	23	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	121	152	1111	<i>73e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 121: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{101} in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.28	1e+01 3.0	1e+00 21	1e-01 29	1e-02 34	1e-03 35	1e-04 36	1e-05 37	1e-07 39	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	107	17	3.4	3.3	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.8	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	51	9.1	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.7	3.1	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	45	7.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.6	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	94	16	3.1	2.9	3.1	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.4	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	38	7.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.5	2.9	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	29	5.9	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	27	5.0	1.0	0.99	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.9	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	32	6.0	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.3	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	19	3.3	0.98	0.85	0.88	0.94	1.0	1.0	1.1	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	319	144	40	7.5	6.4	6.0	6.3	6.7	7.0	7.7	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	15	6.1	1.5	1.6	1.7	2.1	2.4	2.7	3.3	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	16	6.0	1.5	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.3	2.6	3.2	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	44	29	6.0	5.2	6.2	7.7	8.1	8.9	11	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	8.9	3.1	0.85	0.90	0.97	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.6	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	32	39	14	57	165	240	282	314	351	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	172	133	83636	<i>25e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 122: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{102} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.29	1e+01 12	1e+00 20	1e-01 29	1e-02 38	1e-03 46	1e-04 49	1e-05 58	1e-07 70	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	109	5.0	4.1	3.7	3.7	4.1	5.0	5.3	10	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	54	2.5	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.8	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	44	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.5	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	143	6.0	4.7	4.4	5.5	7.4	13	18	50	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	34	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.8	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	28	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	19	1.2	1.0	0.99	0.97	0.97	1.1	1.0	1.1	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	28	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.6	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	19	0.92	1.1	0.93	0.90	0.88	0.99	1.1	1.3	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	291	131	10	7.7	6.1	5.3	4.8	4.9	4.5	4.3	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	11	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.8	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	15	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.7	1.8	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	47	9.0	6.3	5.1	5.6	5.8	5.8	5.7	6.1	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	10	2.9	6.1	6.3	22	45	505	<i>31e-5/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	30	11	15	56	152	178	203	200	195	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	218	212	<i>43e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 123: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best } 2009}$ on f_{103} in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

103 Sphere moderate Cauchy												
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.28	1e+01 3.3	1e+00 21	1e-01 31	1e-02 52	1e-03 66	1e-04 80	1e-05 95	1e-07 123	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	119	18	3.7	3.1	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.0	(1,2)-CMA-ES	[4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	51	7.7	1.7	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	(1,2m)-CMA-ES	[4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	39	6.4	1.5	1.3	1.00	0.95	0.92	0.89	0.88	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	[4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	103	15	3.1	2.7	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.9	(1,2s)-CMA-ES	[2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	36	6.3	1.5	1.4	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	(1,4)-CMA-ES	[5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	28	5.1	1.3	1.2	0.93	0.91	0.90	0.88	0.87	(1,4m)-CMA-ES	[5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	23	4.3	0.99	0.92	0.70	0.67	0.66	0.65	0.63	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	[1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	32	5.2	1.3	1.2	0.88	0.86	0.84	0.83	0.82	(1,4s)-CMA-ES	[3]
avg NEWUOA	1	19	3.0	0.95	2.0	20	655	<i>14e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	avg NEWUOA	[16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	305	146	36	7.4	5.6	3.8	3.3	3.1	3.1	3.0	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	[8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	14	5.4	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	IPOP-aCMA-ES	[12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	13	5.5	1.5	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	IPOP-CMA-ES	[15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	33	23	6.0	4.6	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.4	CMA+DE-MOS	[13]
NEWUOA	1	9.5	2.3	1.00	5.9	44	1231	<i>48e-4/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA	[16]
Basic RCGA	1	26	32	13	72	230	212	195	179	151	Basic RCGA	[17]
SPSA	352	615	125	31	30	34	84	17508	<i>37e-5/1e5</i>	.	SPSA	[9]

Table 124: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}} 2009$ on f_{104} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	4.2	6.0	63	<i>14e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.0	1.2	28	34	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.7	2.3	13	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	3.7	5.0	119	<i>14e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.7	1.9	17	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.3	0.82	12	<i>97e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.2	1.0	10	35	17	<i>91e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.3	1.1	21	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	0.63	1.4	11	<i>98e-1/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	7.1	3.1	1.8	4.5	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.6	0.90	4.9	1.6	0.82	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.79	0.77	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.6	0.97	7.5	2.5	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	7.1	3.0	35	10	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.3	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	0.35	1.5	68	<i>17e+0/6e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	8.5	10	<i>18e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	25	<i>14e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 125: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{best\ 2009}$ on f_{105} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 15	1e+02 59	1e+01 9594	1e+00 30547	1e-01 31585	1e-02 32129	1e-03 32475	1e-04 32754	1e-05 33011	1e-07 33512	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	3.7	4.0	16	4.9	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.0	1.8	2.7	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.6	1.9	6.9	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	4.9	6.7	16	<i>16e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.7	1.5	7.4	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.4	3.0	6.9	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.1	1.3	2.6	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.3	2.7	4.9	<i>15e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	0.67	1.6	7.2	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	6.4	2.4	70	<i>13e+0/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.5	1.5	1.2	0.43	0.43	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.4	2.6	1.9	0.76	0.76	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.76	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	7.0	2.2	9.2	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	0.98	7.2	<i>24e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	8.8	10	<i>18e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	37	<i>14e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 126: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}^{2009}$ on f_{106} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	4.3	5.4	2.3	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2.1	1.7	0.88	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.8	1.7	0.71	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	3.9	3.6	2.2	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.7	2.8	0.97	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.6	1.8	0.64	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.1	1.3	0.55	0.59	0.61	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.5	1.7	0.68	0.89	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	0.70	1.4	8.1	<i>74e-1/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	7.4	5.0	2.1	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	14	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.6	1.5	0.77	0.76	0.84	0.88	0.89	0.90	0.91	0.91	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.7	1.5	1.0	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	7.8	5.2	2.0	9.0	8.7	8.4	8.3	8.2	8.1	8.0	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	0.44	0.87	7.0	31	<i>49e-1/8e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	9.3	18	<i>17e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	133	1736	<i>52e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 127: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{107} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 8.8	1e+01 429	1e+00 679	1e-01 811	1e-02 1055	1e-03 1368	1e-04 2174	1e-05 2624	1e-07 3253	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	433	<i>83e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	151	<i>67e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	215	<i>61e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	998	<i>87e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	205	<i>67e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	123	<i>54e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	148	<i>56e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	259	<i>70e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	108	<i>64e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	327	5.3	0.69	0.82	1.4	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.6	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	8.6	0.81	0.97	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	4.3	1.1	0.95	1.1	1.1	0.96	0.72	0.68	0.65	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	25	109	160	140	109	87	55	46	37	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	96	<i>57e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.3	1.7	1.9	4.7	7.0	6.8	5.1	4.7	4.4	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	213	812	<i>65e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 128: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{108} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere Uniform											
$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 19	1e+01 2903	1e+00 4861	1e-01 10152	1e-02 19820	1e-03 22282	1e-04 25366	1e-05 31511	1e-07 44879	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	685	<i>11e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	804	<i>10e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	594	<i>98e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	575	<i>94e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	694	<i>93e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	601	<i>96e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	660	<i>95e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	710	<i>10e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	698	<i>11e+1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	18344	114	2.5	3.0	2.4	1.6	2.2	3.8	6.1	<i>13e-6/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	14	0.74	0.98	1.0	0.84	1.1	1.6	1.5	1.5	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	15	0.72	0.87	0.66	0.57	0.77	0.89	0.94	1.0	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	4.5	<i>43e+0/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	259	<i>91e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	0.42	<i>22e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	9320	90	11	<i>59e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 129: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{109} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy												
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.28	1e+01 17	1e+00 32	1e-01 57	1e-02 84	1e-03 114	1e-04 150	1e-05 179	1e-07 248	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	107	3.6	3.5	2.7	2.7	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.2	(1,2)-CMA-ES	[4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	56	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.96	(1,2m)-CMA-ES	[4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	35	1.3	1.2	0.97	0.90	0.84	0.77	0.77	0.72	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	[4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	107	3.4	2.8	2.3	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.8	(1,2s)-CMA-ES	[2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	34	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	(1,4)-CMA-ES	[5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	20	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.96	0.95	0.91	0.91	0.88	(1,4m)-CMA-ES	[5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	25	0.92	0.81	0.67	0.62	0.57	0.53	0.52	0.50	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	[1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	33	1.1	1.0	0.89	0.79	0.73	0.68	0.70	0.68	(1,4s)-CMA-ES	[3]
avg NEWUOA	1	19	17	<i>25e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA	[16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	325	141	7.1	5.4	3.7	16693	<i>19e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	[8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	12	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES	[12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	15	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.00	IPOP-CMA-ES	[15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	50	6.2	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0	CMA+DE-MOS	[13]
NEWUOA	1	10	17	<i>33e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA	[16]
Basic RCGA	1	34	7.4	10	117	127	111	97	87	70	Basic RCGA	[17]
SPSA	311	551	54	2319	5124	<i>30e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA	[9]

Table 130: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{110} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 185	1e+02 1271	1e+01 ∞	1e+00 ∞	1e-01 ∞	1e-02 ∞	1e-03 ∞	1e-04 ∞	1e-05 ∞	1e-07 ∞	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	<i>62e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	<i>72e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	<i>56e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	<i>65e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	<i>63e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	<i>35e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	<i>55e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	<i>53e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	<i>55e+3/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	2.6	0.84	<i>18e+0/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.3	0.61	<i>17e+0/1e6</i>	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	0.84	1.1	<i>17e+0/1e6</i>	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	49	19	<i>19e+0/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	<i>19e+3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.4	0.63	<i>20e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	149	<i>42e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 131: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{111} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 1022	1e+02 4353	1e+01 ∞	1e+00 ∞	1e-01 ∞	1e-02 ∞	1e-03 ∞	1e-04 ∞	1e-05 ∞	1e-07 ∞	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	<i>77e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	<i>84e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	<i>70e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	<i>77e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	<i>79e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	<i>71e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	<i>70e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	<i>63e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	<i>94e+3/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	2.4	2.1	<i>20e+0/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	0.76	0.69	<i>18e+0/9e5</i>	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	0.76	0.75	<i>18e+0/9e5</i>	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	42	164	<i>28e+1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	<i>63e+3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	0.73	1.9	<i>49e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	30	<i>48e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 132: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best } 2009}$ on f_{112} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	3.9	5.0	3.1	8.4	20	20	40	39	39	38	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1.9	1.2	0.98	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1.7	2.3	0.60	0.68	0.71	0.72	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	4.2	4.0	3.8	11	43	<i>38e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1.7	2.5	1.4	1.6	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1.6	2.3	0.75	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.0	0.95	0.35	0.48	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1.3	2.8	0.59	0.63	0.69	0.71	0.72	0.72	0.73	0.74	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	0.58	3.0	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	7.7	3.8	<i>18e+0/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.4	1.2	0.84	0.84	0.89	0.92	0.93	0.94	0.94	0.93	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.6	1.9	0.95	0.94	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	7.3	3.1	1.9	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	0.46	2.6	<i>29e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	8.3	11	<i>18e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	74	1330	<i>53e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 133: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{113} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.59	1e+02 90	1e+01 2506	1e+00 18176	1e-01 28020	1e-02 29382	1e-03 29383	1e-04 29383	1e-05 29383	1e-07 29554	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	2007	<i>51e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	262	<i>26e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	561	<i>31e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	2018	<i>51e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	459	<i>25e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	221	532	<i>14e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	103	<i>18e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	268	<i>29e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	434	<i>29e+1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	74	2.2	1.6	81	<i>21e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	15	2.7	0.60	0.27	0.27	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	11	2.3	1.0	0.53	0.58	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.0	8.5	27	9.3	10	10	10	10	10	12	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	297	<i>24e+1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.9	3.9	0.77	<i>23e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	58	1044	<i>98e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 134: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{114} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid Uniform											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.59	1e+02 1656	1e+01 10409	1e+00 55895	1e-01 72257	1e-02 78465	1e-03 78525	1e-04 78525	1e-05 78525	1e-07 79096	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	2239	<i>67e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	2473	<i>55e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	3076	<i>58e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1875	<i>53e+1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2210	<i>56e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2064	<i>51e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1088	<i>53e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1208	<i>52e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	695	<i>44e+1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	6491	9.4	13	<i>74e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	70	0.84	0.64	0.35	0.53	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.63	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	160	0.80	0.59	0.68	0.84	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.92	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.4	107	<i>15e+1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	366	<i>47e+1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.7	8.0	<i>46e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	5058	37	<i>71e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 135: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best } 2009}$ on f_{115} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.53	1e+02 17	1e+01 120	1e+00 1513	1e-01 4587	1e-02 6334	1e-03 6340	1e-04 6340	1e-05 6340	1e-07 6450	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	26	5.8	1201	<i>16e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	15	1.6	7.0	<i>50e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	11	1.3	11	<i>52e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	30	5.7	<i>21e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	6.5	1.3	18	<i>61e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	6.3	1.1	5.9	<i>40e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	5.9	0.88	6.9	<i>45e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	7.5	1.3	26	<i>65e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	7.2	0.89	108	<i>93e-1/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	45	4.4	1.1	431	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	3.2	1.1	1.4	1.2	0.54	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.9	0.94	1.1	4.8	2.2	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	2.9	5.7	1.3	191	317	<i>17e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	3.3	0.58	236	<i>18e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	4.1	4.8	14	104	77	<i>11e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	45	10	966	<i>12e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 136: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{116} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	116 Ellipsoid Gauss										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 1812	1e+02 9507	1e+01 24887	1e+00 34689	1e-01 44640	1e-02 50231	1e-03 51660	1e-04 52791	1e-05 54036	1e-07 56166	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	<i>29e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	<i>33e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	<i>34e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	<i>29e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	<i>27e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	<i>24e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	<i>23e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	<i>28e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	<i>20e+3/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	24	75	<i>26e+1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	0.87	0.58	0.36	0.28	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.24	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.00	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.93	0.93	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	13	11	5.3	4.0	3.1	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.8	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	<i>22e+3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1.9	35	<i>22e+1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	<i>11e+3/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 137: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{117} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 10122	1e+02 35527	1e+01 89270	1e+00 1.23e5	1e-01 1.30e5	1e-02 1.38e5	1e-03 1.45e5	1e-04 1.54e5	1e-05 1.62e5	1e-07 1.81e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	<i>30e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	<i>30e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	<i>30e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	<i>28e+3/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	<i>29e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	<i>30e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	<i>32e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	<i>31e+3/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	<i>24e+3/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	<i>75e+2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	0.40	0.45	0.37	0.35	0.41	0.44	0.45	0.46	0.47	0.56	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	0.68	0.71	0.55	0.61	0.66	0.67	0.69	0.70	0.71	0.72	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	147	<i>22e+2/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	<i>26e+3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	11	<i>14e+2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	<i>13e+3/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 138: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{118} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	6.5	3.2	4.6	10	20	42	55	105	98	<i>11e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	3.3	1.4	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	3.1	1.00	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	5.7	3.0	5.1	14	13	17	56	<i>13e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	2.9	1.1	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	2.3	0.89	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	2.0	0.71	0.83	0.81	0.74	0.69	0.64	0.64	0.61	0.60	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	2.4	0.97	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1.3	7.9	<i>43e+0/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	8.3	2.5	3.0	1105	<i>21e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.8	0.88	0.82	0.78	0.71	0.66	0.63	0.65	0.64	0.66	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	4.0	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	6.1	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1.2	7.9	<i>62e+0/6e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	46	363	<i>10e+1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	20	184	<i>63e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 139: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{119} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of diff powers Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 139	1e+00 1468	1e-01 1796	1e-02 3164	1e-03 20535	1e-04 47098	1e-05 69961	1e-07 95143	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	2220	<i>21e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	319	517	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	413	508	<i>14e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	836	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	518	<i>15e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	67	316	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	208	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	723	505	<i>15e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	304	<i>15e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	352	545	1.3	1.3	1.5	3.9	70	<i>19e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	18	1.6	0.62	0.83	0.92	0.42	0.29	0.25	0.28	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	31	1.9	0.58	0.69	0.80	0.58	0.58	0.59	0.97	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	5.1	9.5	22	28	21	5.8	3.3	2.6	5.4	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	33	398	<i>18e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	5.2	1.8	1.6	2.4	6.9	<i>57e-4/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	282	359	<i>19e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 140: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{120} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	120 Sum of diff powers Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 1802	1e+00 8940	1e-01 14054	1e-02 42575	1e-03 79607	1e-04 2.70e5	1e-05 3.37e5	1e-07 6.75e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	3441	<i>29e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	4298	<i>34e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	3333	<i>33e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	4780	<i>28e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	4498	<i>29e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1819	<i>30e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	2797	<i>35e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	4040	<i>32e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	757	<i>29e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	12827	20132	4.7	5.6	5.1	4.5	<i>16e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	369	0.62	0.64	0.79	0.83	0.78	0.43	0.44	0.40	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	240	0.69	0.60	0.74	0.57	0.67	0.52	0.69	0.69	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	5.1	45	<i>92e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	593	<i>30e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	3.5	4.8	<i>61e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	10758	31179	231	<i>12e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 141: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{121} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	121 Sum of diff powers Cauchy										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 12	1e+00 38	1e-01 71	1e-02 172	1e-03 465	1e-04 1099	1e-05 1722	1e-07 2870	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	217	4.8	3.4	3.0	2.3	1.9	1.8	3.8	<i>35e-7/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	163	2.2	1.4	1.2	0.93	0.81	0.69	0.80	6.2	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	133	1.9	1.2	1.0	0.74	0.58	0.51	0.59	5.3	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	215	4.5	2.8	2.7	1.9	1.5	1.5	3.8	<i>44e-7/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	62	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.1	0.87	0.79	1.0	2.1	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	26	1.4	1.1	1.0	0.87	0.73	0.62	0.75	1.2	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	43	1.1	0.80	0.71	0.52	0.41	0.35	0.39	0.53	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	24	1.5	1.1	0.90	0.69	0.55	0.46	0.58	0.98	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	82	49	<i>40e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	301	475	8.1	5.5	5.5	<i>46e-3/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	20	1.2	1.0	1.1	0.98	0.77	0.58	0.56	0.64	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.8	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.9	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	5.1	6.6	3.4	3.7	3.4	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.2	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	29	31	<i>52e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	5.3	5.0	10	36	76	<i>41e-4/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	210	876	12355	<i>10e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 142: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{122} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	25	99	<i>87e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	7.9	45	<i>67e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	6.0	29	<i>78e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	128	<i>88e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	9.2	78	<i>69e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	7.4	34	<i>68e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	8.5	44	<i>75e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	7.3	32	<i>77e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.3	125	<i>77e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	6.0	2.3	0.81	0.97	0.66	0.56	0.65	0.66	0.81	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	2.0	2.0	0.92	0.74	0.55	0.63	0.67	0.95	0.64	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	4.9	84	72	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	6.8	82	<i>80e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.1	1.6	6.7	7.7	6.0	<i>98e-3/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1.00e6	1.00e6	5840	<i>11e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 143: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{123} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

123 Schaffer F7 Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 53	1e+00 26479	1e-01 74388	1e-02 1.63e5	1e-03 2.64e5	1e-04 4.89e5	1e-05 1.36e6	1e-07 7.92e6	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	148	1303	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	215	1341	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	245	627	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	103	651	<i>11e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	275	<i>10e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	113	490	<i>11e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	120	803	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	128	405	<i>11e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.3	6.4	0.72	0.88	0.73	0.94	0.86	0.50	1.9	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.7	7.2	0.72	0.61	0.69	0.80	0.71	0.62	<i>28e-7/1e6</i>	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	71	<i>68e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	53	174	<i>10e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.4	7.0	<i>48e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1.76e6	2.41e6	<i>20e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 144: Running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ 2009 on f_{124} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy											
Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 10	1e+00 98	1e-01 2042	1e-02 3225	1e-03 6346	1e-04 14253	1e-05 19427	1e-07 39957	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1.3	407	<i>86e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	6.7	3.7	<i>33e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	4.6	3.5	1524	<i>36e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	33	707	<i>84e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	143	<i>46e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	7.0	2.4	<i>23e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	50	<i>40e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	22	<i>50e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	3.3	95	<i>59e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	3.4	1.1	3.9	1.0	1.0	0.91	0.71	0.76	0.62	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	0.99	0.75	0.98	0.98	0.66	0.84	0.78	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.1	4.3	2.5	0.45	1.4	2.1	1.6	1.6	1.9	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	10	91	<i>66e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1.2	2.3	8.1	3.6	6.0	6.1	15	<i>39e-5/5e4</i>	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1.00e6	1.00e6	31557	<i>13e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 145: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{125} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 0.05	1e+00 0.05	1e-01 0.05	1e-02 6.24e5	1e-03 1.25e6	1e-04 3.12e6	1e-05 4.01e6	1e-07 4.03e6	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	9.05e5	<i>12e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.46e5	<i>94e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.22e5	<i>96e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>13e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.61e5	<i>95e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	81397	<i>88e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	81829	<i>95e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	2.36e5	<i>10e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	493	<i>45e-2/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	283	321	364	738	9.45e6	<i>23e-2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	827	3.85e6	0.47	1.1	0.81	1.7	1.7	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	957	7.10e6	0.70	0.79	0.56	1.8	1.8	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	1527	6.76e6	<i>18e-2/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	414	<i>49e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	322	2.34e6	<i>33e-2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1.00e6	1.00e6	1.00e6	1.00e6	3.44e6	<i>12e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 146: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{126} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

126 Griewank-Rosenbrock Uniform												
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 0.05	1e+00 0.05	1e-01 0.05	1e-02 ∞	1e-03 ∞	1e-04 ∞	1e-05 ∞	1e-07 ∞	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>15e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES	[4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>15e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES	[4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>15e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	[4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>14e-1/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES	[2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>14e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES	[5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>13e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES	[5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	2.92e6	<i>13e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	[1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	2.86e6	<i>13e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES	[3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	122	2.60e6	<i>16e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA	[16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	2514	3109	3338	13054	<i>33e-2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	[8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	6417	<i>30e-2/2e5</i>	IPOP-aCMA-ES	[12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	5759	<i>28e-2/2e5</i>	IPOP-CMA-ES	[15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	1527	<i>39e-2/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS	[13]
NEWUOA	1	1	4.2	1.32e5	<i>12e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA	[16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	335	4.18e6	<i>32e-2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA	[17]
SPSA	2.80e7	2.80e7	2.80e7	2.80e7	<i>45e+3/1e5</i>	SPSA	[9]

Table 147: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{127} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 0.05	1e+00 0.05	1e-01 0.05	1e-02 79507	1e-03 2.22e5	1e-04 3.40e5	1e-05 3.63e5	1e-07 3.71e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	7537	<i>70e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	595	<i>52e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	843	<i>48e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	7404	<i>67e-2/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1139	<i>44e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	756	2.91e6	<i>39e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	654	<i>23e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1149	<i>53e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	7.7	219	<i>43e-2/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	292	335	353	754	<i>28e-2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	193	2.82e5	0.75	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	267	9.58e5	1.0	0.81	0.89	0.84	0.85	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	1526	2.24e5	<i>44e-3/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	3.7	253	<i>45e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1	314	1.07e6	<i>59e-3/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	226	276	960	2.30e6	8.02e6	<i>10e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 148: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{128} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 7017	1e+00 6.69e5	1e-01 8.61e5	1e-02 8.61e5	1e-03 8.61e5	1e-04 8.62e5	1e-05 8.62e5	1e-07 8.62e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>71e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>65e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>66e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>70e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>66e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>64e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>65e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>68e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	<i>69e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	284	367	1.8	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.16	0.16	0.17	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	0.51	0.72	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	12	1.0	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	96	<i>50e+0/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	<i>70e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	4.7	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.14	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	309	350	<i>73e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 149: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{129} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	129 Gallagher Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 3.91e5	1e+00 2.07e6	1e-01 2.08e6	1e-02 2.08e6	1e-03 2.09e6	1e-04 2.10e6	1e-05 2.10e6	1e-07 2.12e6	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>73e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>74e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>73e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>72e+0/1e4</i>	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>70e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>72e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>72e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>72e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	<i>74e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	3132	3641	0.43	0.35	0.35	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.70	0.70	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	0.16	0.27	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	0.29	0.30	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	<i>59e+0/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	<i>73e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.9	<i>34e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	2290	9084	<i>69e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 150: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{130} in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 245	1e+00 4657	1e-01 12618	1e-02 12648	1e-03 12688	1e-04 12729	1e-05 12755	1e-07 12860	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,2)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.1	1.8	0.96	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	(1,2)-CMA-ES [4, 2]
(1,2m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.0	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	(1,2m)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	0.95	0.62	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	(1,2ms)-CMA-ES [4]
(1,2s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.3	(1,2s)-CMA-ES [2]
(1,4)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.0	0.97	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	(1,4)-CMA-ES [5, 3]
(1,4m)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.8	0.83	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	(1,4m)-CMA-ES [5]
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	0.83	0.37	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
(1,4s)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	(1,4s)-CMA-ES [3]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	6.6	<i>45e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [16]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	295	351	30	15	12	16	<i>76e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1.5	66	54	54	54	54	54	53	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.9	76	37	37	37	37	37	37	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	103	59	52	52	51	51	51	51	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
NEWUOA	1	1	9.1	<i>77e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [16]
Basic RCGA	1	1	16	8.7	5.0	5.2	6.6	7.2	7.2	9.0	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	292	365	5718	<i>73e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 151: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{101} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 6.5	1e+01 15	1e+00 23	1e-01 31	1e-02 39	1e-03 48	1e-04 56	1e-05 64	1e-07 81	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.7	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.98	0.97	0.96	0.94	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	736	15	12	10	7.8	6.7	5.9	5.4	5.0	4.5	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	6.0	7.1	5.3	4.4	4.2	5.0	4.7	4.4	4.4	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	4.1	10	97	270	307	305	298	285	253	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	536	48	<i>52e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 152: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{102} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 8.4	1e+01 21	1e+00 32	1e-01 44	1e-02 55	1e-03 66	1e-04 77	1e-05 89	1e-07 113	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	1.0	0.92	0.88	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.92	0.98	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	686	11	8.5	6.8	5.6	4.8	4.4	4.0	3.8	3.4	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	6.1	5.1	3.8	3.1	3.0	3.7	3.4	3.1	3.3	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	3.0	7.3	68	199	218	222	214	204	181	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	488	927	<i>78e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 153: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{103} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

103 Sphere moderate Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 5.9	1e+01 13	1e+00 31	1e-01 42	1e-02 54	1e-03 68	1e-04 82	1e-05 95	1e-07 124	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	2.1	1.5	0.86	0.79	0.76	0.72	0.69	0.68	0.64	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	739	16	14	7.7	6.1	5.1	4.4	4.0	3.9	3.8	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.5	1.7	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	1.7	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	6.4	7.9	3.9	3.3	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.4	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	4.2	11	83	424	381	334	299	269	221	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	864	36	34	24	25	63	231	<i>52e-5/1e5</i>	.	.	SPSA [9]

Table 154: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{104} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 19	1e+02 243	1e+01 77238	1e+00 89865	1e-01 91381	1e-02 92161	1e-03 92647	1e-04 93045	1e-05 93422	1e-07 94099	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.1	0.51	<i>32e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	6.4	0.81	<i>25e+0/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.4	0.42	0.33	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.4	0.42	0.97	0.88	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	5.7	30	<i>38e+0/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	9.4	21	<i>38e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	24	<i>27e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 155: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{105} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 24	1e+02 166	1e+01 1.40e5	1e+00 1.48e5	1e-01 1.51e5	1e-02 1.52e5	1e-03 1.53e5	1e-04 1.54e5	1e-05 1.55e5	1e-07 1.57e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	0.88	1.9	<i>40e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	5.2	1.4	<i>34e+0/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.1	0.51	0.49	0.50	0.50	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.1	0.95	0.79	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.81	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	4.4	0.80	<i>38e+0/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	7.5	35	<i>38e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	23	<i>27e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 156: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{106} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy											
Δ_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.2	1.1	0.54	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	6.6	4.0	12	24	23	23	27	49	82	110	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.5	1.4	0.81	0.94	0.97	0.98	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.4	1.00	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	6.2	3.0	3.9	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	11	112	<i>37e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	85	5844	<i>25e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 157: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{107} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 225	1e+01 960	1e+00 1444	1e-01 1873	1e-02 2170	1e-03 2443	1e-04 2724	1e-05 3015	1e-07 3617	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	<i>24e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	738	1.1	1.5	1.9	2.3	2.8	3.2	3.2	3.6	4.3	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	2.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.6	2.1	2.2	2.8	2.6	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.8	1.1	0.96	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.97	0.98	1.0	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	241	1545	1028	<i>57e+0/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	2.3	16	12	13	15	14	13	17	18	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	476	2924	<i>14e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 158: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{108} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 2343	1e+01 5366	1e+00 14044	1e-01 23379	1e-02 37376	1e-03 45909	1e-04 52525	1e-05 70438	1e-07 1.06e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	<i>25e+1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	29020	2.0	2.8	2.4	2.9	3.2	16	<i>78e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	0.91	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.6	2.0	1.8	1.6	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	0.71	0.88	0.76	0.76	0.67	0.76	0.87	0.83	0.87	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	286	<i>15e+1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	16	<i>92e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	27994	2.0	<i>20e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 159: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{109} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 7.7	1e+01 21	1e+00 36	1e-01 63	1e-02 92	1e-03 124	1e-04 156	1e-05 188	1e-07 251	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	0.94	0.85	0.68	0.61	0.56	0.52	0.50	0.48	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	689	12	8.4	6.3	4.3	<i>38e-3/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	5.1	4.9	3.5	3.9	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.1	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	3.4	8.2	251	234	194	161	139	122	98	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	683	30	371	1563	22528	<i>82e-2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 160: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{110} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 388	1e+02 4139	1e+01 ∞	1e+00 ∞	1e-01 ∞	1e-02 ∞	1e-03 ∞	1e-04 ∞	1e-05 ∞	1e-07 ∞	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	<i>32e+4/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	299	98	<i>12e+2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.3	0.53	<i>37e+0/1e6</i>	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.4	0.54	<i>37e+0/9e5</i>	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	152	179	<i>47e+1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	0.87	2.6	<i>51e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	722	<i>17e+2/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 161: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{111} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 2641	1e+02 15539	1e+01 ∞	1e+00 ∞	1e-01 ∞	1e-02 ∞	1e-03 ∞	1e-04 ∞	1e-05 ∞	1e-07 ∞	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	<i>29e+4/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	<i>97e+3/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	0.86	0.79	<i>38e+0/1e6</i>	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	0.86	0.66	<i>38e+0/1e6</i>	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	<i>39e+3/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	8.4	<i>63e+1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	26	<i>77e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 162: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{112} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δ_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.2	0.68	0.41	0.51	0.51	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	7.0	2.7	<i>38e+0/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.3	0.84	0.92	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.3	0.60	1.00	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	5.7	2.0	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	10	81	<i>37e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	61	1905	<i>10e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 163: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{113} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 52	1e+02 1434	1e+01 10997	1e+00 63545	1e-01 67375	1e-02 75001	1e-03 75001	1e-04 75001	1e-05 75001	1e-07 75144	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	<i>17e+2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	2.3	0.86	4.4	<i>52e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	2.1	0.79	0.54	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	2.5	0.71	0.75	0.63	0.72	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.69	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	7.7	169	<i>11e+1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1.0	1.6	3.1	<i>70e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	3.1	<i>21e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 164: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{114} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 165	1e+02 7217	1e+01 41989	1e+00 1.64e5	1e-01 2.51e5	1e-02 2.88e5	1e-03 2.88e5	1e-04 2.88e5	1e-05 2.88e5	1e-07 2.92e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	<i>16e+2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	27	3.1	34	<i>25e+0/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	4.0	0.72	0.52	0.41	0.35	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	4.3	0.72	0.60	0.75	0.66	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	167	<i>74e+1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1.2	<i>32e+1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	26	<i>28e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 165: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{115} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1.8	1.7	<i>24e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	13	4.0	0.41	<i>30e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1.4	1.1	0.83	0.84	0.57	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.57	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.1	0.96	1.5	3.7	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	5.2	4.0	49	<i>58e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	2.9	6.3	21	<i>45e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	6.3	7.2	<i>21e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 166: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{116} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 13071	1e+02 60167	1e+01 1.04e5	1e+00 1.08e5	1e-01 1.13e5	1e-02 1.17e5	1e-03 1.21e5	1e-04 1.25e5	1e-05 1.28e5	1e-07 1.36e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	<i>13e+4/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	<i>12e+4/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	0.39	0.26	0.22	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.28	0.28	0.29	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	0.97	0.68	0.77	0.88	0.91	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	<i>47e+2/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	2.0	<i>70e+1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	<i>40e+3/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 167: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{117} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 31303	1e+02 1.35e5	1e+01 2.11e5	1e+00 2.97e5	1e-01 3.40e5	1e-02 3.65e5	1e-03 4.33e5	1e-04 4.57e5	1e-05 4.79e5	1e-07 5.28e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	<i>12e+4/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	<i>31e+3/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	0.38	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.37	0.38	0.35	0.36	0.38	0.52	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	0.72	0.54	0.66	0.74	0.72	0.81	0.76	0.78	0.80	0.81	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	<i>47e+3/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	<i>95e+2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	<i>42e+3/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 168: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{118} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	0.50	0.50	0.37	0.51	0.41	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.55	0.57	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	2.3	1.9	1.1	546	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	0.78	0.71	0.50	0.67	0.53	0.54	0.57	0.58	0.60	0.63	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	0.94	1.2	1.0	1.4	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.6	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	76	<i>30e+1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	7.5	3935	<i>18e+1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 169: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{119} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of diff powers Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 1.3	1e+01 1060	1e+00 3076	1e-01 3928	1e-02 13306	1e-03 53029	1e-04 1.18e5	1e-05 2.51e5	1e-07 3.20e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	260	<i>50e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	1209	34	1.3	4.6	4.1	2.6	<i>27e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	4.3	3.8	0.79	0.71	0.95	0.68	0.53	0.35	0.19	0.21	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1.3	6.6	0.65	0.65	0.66	0.52	0.83	0.88	0.87	0.91	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.1	10	113	482	378	<i>88e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	1.9	4.0	18	19	54	<i>25e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	437	14	<i>34e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 170: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{120} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	120 Sum of diff powers Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 1.0	1e+01 4090	1e+00 17701	1e-01 43288	1e-02 84093	1e-03 2.84e5	1e-04 6.09e5	1e-05 1.08e6	1e-07 5.96e6	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	4473	<i>81e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	17083	1524	6.7	13	8.4	<i>24e-1/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	122	0.81	1.00	1.3	1.2	0.64	0.47	0.30	0.22	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	102	0.83	0.72	0.72	0.83	0.83	0.76	0.79	2.5	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.1	19	<i>28e+0/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	3.0	<i>19e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	34780	1644	<i>23e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 171: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{121} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

121 Sum of diff powers Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 1.4	1e+01 18	1e+00 43	1e-01 84	1e-02 205	1e-03 689	1e-04 1429	1e-05 2435	1e-07 5045	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	5.7	1.2	0.86	0.67	0.50	0.32	0.32	0.35	0.50	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	673	20	10	9.3	612	<i>89e-3/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1.8	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.94	0.68	0.63	0.59	0.60	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.7	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.00	1.3	1.6	2.0	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1.1	2.3	5.2	2.9	3.2	2.9	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.1	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	1.5	5.9	21	112	82	<i>38e-4/5e4</i>	.	.	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	517	65	35833	<i>18e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 172: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{122} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	13	1135	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	3.5	0.67	0.83	1.2	0.77	0.66	0.45	0.71	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	6.4	3.1	0.78	0.77	0.90	0.53	0.63	0.50	0.84	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.3	14	<i>64e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	1.5	1.1	49	<i>30e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	6.00e6	6.01e6	11348	<i>68e+3/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 173: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{123} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

123 Schaffer F7 Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	3.4	0.88	0.78	1.1	2.9	7.8	<i>12e-4/1e6</i>	.	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	14	2.8	0.90	0.93	0.97	0.86	1.3	<i>25e-6/1e6</i>	.	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.3	246	<i>97e-1/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	1.2	2.7	<i>75e-1/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1.60e7	1.60e7	<i>10e+4/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 174: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{124} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	18	30	<i>58e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	3.0	1.1	4.3	1.8	1.8	1.5	0.94	0.86	0.64	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	3.3	0.97	0.83	0.53	0.72	0.81	0.50	0.63	0.73	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1.3	6.2	2.0	0.68	0.92	1.1	0.84	0.90	0.83	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	1.3	1.6	1.5	2.4	3.3	4.9	11	<i>21e-5/5e4</i>	.	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	1.60e7	1.60e7	<i>94e+3/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 175: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{125} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 0.03	1e+00 115	1e-01 2.64e6	1e-02 2.74e6	1e-03 1.36e7	1e-04 ∞	1e-05 ∞	1e-07 ∞	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	717	916	1032	0.36	<i>45e-2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	2.9	0.21	0.40	0.40	<i>95e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	3.2	0.55	0.75	<i>48e-2/3e5</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	0.66	<i>51e-2/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	0.10	0.08	<i>52e-2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	<i>11e+4/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 176: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{126} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	126 Griewank-Rosenbrock Uniform										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 0.03	1e+00 218	1e-01 ∞	1e-02 ∞	1e-03 ∞	1e-04 ∞	1e-05 ∞	1e-07 ∞	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>17e-1/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	3014	3562	3755	1.3	<i>52e-2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	3.8	<i>51e-2/2e5</i>	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	3.3	<i>50e-2/2e5</i>	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	0.35	<i>54e-2/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1.1	0.06	1.36e7	<i>55e-2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	2.60e7	2.60e7	2.60e7	2979	<i>93e+3/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 177: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{127} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 0.03	1e+00 18	1e-01 44721	1e-02 2.63e5	1e-03 3.78e5	1e-04 6.24e5	1e-05 6.49e5	1e-07 6.66e5	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	10	<i>78e-2/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	711	818	968	2.3	<i>47e-2/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1	1.0	1.1	0.39	0.89	0.93	0.95	0.94	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.1	3.6	0.92	0.73	0.59	0.75	0.81	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	1.1	4.3	2.6	<i>10e-2/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	1	1	0.57	3.1	<i>16e-2/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	431	527	4104	6726	31	<i>19e-1/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 178: Running time excess $ERT/ERT_{\text{best 2009}}$ on f_{128} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 1.03e5	1e+00 9.57e5	1e-01 2.82e6	1e-02 2.82e6	1e-03 2.82e6	1e-04 2.82e6	1e-05 2.82e6	1e-07 2.82e6	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>81e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	673	930	1.1	0.45	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	0.16	0.62	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	0.75	1.3	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	<i>77e+0/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	1	<i>69e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	560	629	<i>80e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 179: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{129} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

129 Gallagher Uniform											
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 8.67e5	1e+00 9.40e5	1e-01 2.54e6	1e-02 2.55e6	1e-03 2.56e6	1e-04 2.58e6	1e-05 2.59e6	1e-07 2.62e6	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>82e+0/1e4</i>	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	1358	1503	<i>69e+0/1e5</i>	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	0.61	1.3	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	0.38	0.82	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.63	0.63	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	<i>76e+0/1e5</i>	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	1	<i>69e+0/5e4</i>	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	3993	8599	<i>78e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

Table 180: Running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} 2009 on f_{130} in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	130 Gallagher Cauchy										
Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 317	1e+00 6925	1e-01 42118	1e-02 42165	1e-03 42224	1e-04 42282	1e-05 42361	1e-07 42474	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
(1,4ms)-CMA-ES	1	1	0.52	0.41	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	(1,4ms)-CMA-ES [1, 5]
CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1)	658	840	25	40	6.6	6.7	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	CMA-EGS (IPOP,r1) [8]
IPOP-aCMA-ES	1	1	1.1	47	23	23	23	23	23	23	IPOP-aCMA-ES [12]
IPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.8	83	57	57	57	57	57	57	IPOP-CMA-ES [15]
CMA+DE-MOS	1	1	49	40	10	10	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.4	CMA+DE-MOS [13]
Basic RCGA	1	1	29	9.4	2.6	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	Basic RCGA [17]
SPSA	606	755	<i>84e+0/1e5</i>	SPSA [9]

References

- [1] Anne Auger, Dimo Brockhoff, and Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking the (1, 4)-CMA-ES with mirrored sampling and sequential selection on the noisy BBOB-2010 testbed. In Pelikan and Branke [14], pages 1625–1632.
- [2] Anne Auger, Dimo Brockhoff, and Nikolaus Hansen. Investigating the impact of sequential selection in the (1, 2)-CMA-ES on the noisy BBOB-2010 testbed. In Pelikan and Branke [14], pages 1605–1610.
- [3] Anne Auger, Dimo Brockhoff, and Nikolaus Hansen. Investigating the impact of sequential selection in the (1, 4)-CMA-ES on the noisy BBOB-2010 testbed. In Pelikan and Branke [14], pages 1611–1616.
- [4] Anne Auger, Dimo Brockhoff, and Nikolaus Hansen. Mirrored variants of the (1, 2)-CMA-ES compared on the noisy BBOB-2010 testbed. In Pelikan and Branke [14], pages 1575–1582.
- [5] Anne Auger, Dimo Brockhoff, and Nikolaus Hansen. Mirrored variants of the (1, 4)-CMA-ES compared on the noisy BBOB-2010 testbed. In Pelikan and Branke [14], pages 1583–1590.
- [6] Anne Auger, Steffen Finck, Nikolaus Hansen, and Raymond Ros. BBOB 2009: Comparison tables of all algorithms on all noisy functions. Technical Report RT-0384, INRIA, 04 2010.
- [7] S. Finck, N. Hansen, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2010: Presentation of the noisy functions. Technical Report 2009/21, Research Center PPE, 2010.
- [8] Steffen Finck and Hans-Georg Beyer. Benchmarking CMA-EGS on the BBOB 2010 noisy function testbed. In Pelikan and Branke [14], pages 1641–1648.
- [9] Steffen Finck and Hans-Georg Beyer. Benchmarking SPSA on BBOB-2010 noisy function testbed. In Pelikan and Branke [14], pages 1665–1672.
- [10] N. Hansen, A. Auger, S. Finck, and R. Ros. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2010: Experimental setup. Technical Report RR-7215, INRIA, 2010.
- [11] N. Hansen, S. Finck, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Noisy functions definitions. Technical Report RR-6869, INRIA, 2009. Updated February 2010.
- [12] Nikolaus Hansen and Raymond Ros. Benchmarking a weighted negative covariance matrix update on the BBOB-2010 noisy testbed. In Pelikan and Branke [14], pages 1681–1688.
- [13] Antonio LaTorre, Santiago Muelas, and José María Peña. Benchmarking a MOS-based algorithm on the BBOB-2010 noisy function testbed. In Pelikan and Branke [14], pages 1725–1730.

- [14] Martin Pelikan and Jürgen Branke, editors. *Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, GECCO 2010, Proceedings, Portland, Oregon, USA, July 7-11, 2010, Companion Material*. ACM, 2010.
- [15] Raymond Ros. Black-box optimization benchmarking the IPOP-CMA-ES on the noisy testbed: comparison to the BIPOP-CMA-ES. In Pelikan and Branke [14], pages 1511–1518.
- [16] Raymond Ros. Comparison of NEWUOA with different numbers of interpolation points on the BBOB noisy testbed. In Pelikan and Branke [14], pages 1495–1502.
- [17] Thanh-Do Tran and Gang-Gyoo Jin. Benchmarking real-coded genetic algorithm on noisy black-box optimization testbed. In Pelikan and Branke [14], pages 1739–1744.



Centre de recherche INRIA Saclay – Île-de-France
Parc Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes
4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 Orsay Cedex (France)

Centre de recherche INRIA Bordeaux – Sud Ouest : Domaine Universitaire - 351, cours de la Libération - 33405 Talence Cedex
Centre de recherche INRIA Grenoble – Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier
Centre de recherche INRIA Lille – Nord Europe : Parc Scientifique de la Haute Borne - 40, avenue Halley - 59650 Villeneuve d'Ascq
Centre de recherche INRIA Nancy – Grand Est : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique
615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex
Centre de recherche INRIA Paris – Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex
Centre de recherche INRIA Rennes – Bretagne Atlantique : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex
Centre de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis – Méditerranée : 2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex

Éditeur
INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)
<http://www.inria.fr>
ISSN 0249-0803